

20040220.qrp v03_n203.qrl.20040220

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 19:03:09 EST
From: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: QRP-L digest 3203

QRP-L Digest 3203

Topics covered in this issue include:

- 1) [168643] Re: future of qrp-l
by Glen Reid <k5fx@arrl.net>
- 2) [168644] Fw: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??
by "Steve" <sswhite@mchsi.com>
- 3) [168645] Re: future of qrp-l
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
- 4) [168646] Re: future of qrp-l
by John Rollins <kd7bcy@teleport.com>
- 5) [168647] K7RE/C6ALK on 10.116 QRP NOW!
by "Mark S. Adams, P.E." <msadams@acsu.buffalo.edu>
- 6) [168648] Fwd: 15th OQRPC: Where They Came From
by dl6aaf@t-online.de
- 7) [168649] Re: CW Learning Curves?
by "AD4MZ Bob C" <ellyncooley@earthlink.net>
- 8) [168650] Fw: K7RE/C6ALK on 10.116 QRP NOW!
by "Niels Kristjansson" <nkristja@telus.net>
- 9) [168651] Need info on Fluke 1900A
by "Niels Kristjansson" <nkristja@telus.net>
- 10) [168652] Re: future of qrp-l
by Tom Mc <redmen1969@optonline.net>
- 11) [168653] Re: qrp-l and qsl.net
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
- 12) [168654] Re: New QRP List?
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
- 13) [168655] Re: future of qrp-l
by Steve Smith <sigcom@juno.com>
- 14) [168656] Re: New QRP List?
by Steve Smith <sigcom@juno.com>
- 15) [168657] FW: Do I need a new soldering iron?
by "Joe Mann" <joemann@chicagonet.net>
- 16) [168658] Help with the DDS Daughtercard
by Jeff Chambers <jeffchambers@cableone.net>
- 17) [168659] FW: FT-817 help needed
by "Joe Mann" <joemann@chicagonet.net>
- 18) [168660] Re: future of qrp-l
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
- 19) [168661] RE: working George the Fox ...

- by "Nick Kennedy" <wa5bdu@tcainternet.com>
- 20) [168662] Re: Next kit offering
by "Bill Walker" <wv7g@arrl.net>
- 21) [168663] Gone to qrp-l@mailman.qth.net
by Michael Babineau <michael.babineau@sympatico.ca>
- 22) [168664] RE: working George the Fox ...
by KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>
- 23) [168665] Keystrokes
by "Steve w0oow" <w0oow@piperscreek.com>
- 24) [168666] steve's next kit suggestion
by Gary Lee <kb9zuv@arrl.net>
- 25) [168667] Re: Next kit offering
by "Brian Murrey" <brian@iquest.net>
- 26) [168668] Re: Calling Ones Boss
by "Lawrence Makoski" <Makos327@worldnet.att.net>
- 27) [168669] Re: QRP-Demise
by "Brian Murrey" <brian@iquest.net>
- 28) [168670] Re: Elmer 160
by "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
- 29) [168671] Re: working George the Fox ...
by "Brad Hedges" <bhedges@nc.rr.com>
- 30) [168672] RE: Elmer 160
by "Jim Sheldon" <w0eb@cox.net>
- 31) [168673] Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by "Jason Hsu, AG4DG" <jhs001@heronetwork.com>
- 32) [168674] QTH net signup
by Peter Burbank <peterlee@qxx.net>
- 33) [168675] Re: Help with the DDS Daughtercard
by "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
- 34) [168676] Re: DDS Daughter Card
by "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
- 35) [168677] Re: Elmer 160 & PIC-EL
by "Paul F. Ryan" <pfr2@Lehigh.EDU>
- 36) [168678] Ashamed
by PMdc361@aol.com
- 37) [168679] Re: Help with the DDS Daughtercard
by "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
- 38) [168680] Re: Elmer 160 & PIC-EL
by <n2go@arrl.net>
- 39) [168681] Re: working George the Fox ...
by George Fremin III <geoiii@kkn.net>
- 40) [168682] Re: Calling Ones Boss
by "Chris Trask" <chistrask@earthlink.net>
- 41) [168683] FOX: K5TR Final Log - Hunt 34
by George Fremin III <geoiii@kkn.net>
- 42) [168684] Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]
by Juan Ferrari <puntrad@usa.net>
- 43) [168685] AmQRP thanks Jim for many good years of QRP-L

by "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
44) [168686] Re: Do I need a new soldering iron?
by Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>
45) [168687] RE: Emergency Field Kit
by "Paul Ermisch" <paul@ermisch.com>
46) [168688] FW: [QRP-L] [Fwd: Welcome to one and all!]
by "Paul Womble" <pwomble@earthlink.net>
47) [168689] Re: The future of QRP-L
by Tim Groat <tcgroat@earthlink.net>
48) [168690] Re: The future of QRP-L
by "KU4YP" <ku4yp@verizon.net>
49) [168691] RE: [Re: future of qrp-l]
by "rattray" <rattray@accesscomm.ca>
50) [168692] Re: qrp-l and qsl.net
by <w9ya@arrl.net>
51) [168693] re: DSL noise
by JClinton46@aol.com
52) [168694] Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]
by Rob Matherly <jimrob@jetnetinc.net>
53) [168695] Re: The future of QRP-L
by John Rollins <kd7bcy@teleport.com>
54) [168696] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by "Bill Jones" <kd7s@psnw.com>
55) [168697] Re: The future of QRP-L
by "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
56) [168698] RE: Emergency Field Kit
by "W.D. (Doc) Lindsey" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
57) [168699] Re: working George the Fox ...
by "W.D. (Doc) Lindsey" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
58) [168700] RE: Emergency Field Kit
by "Paul Ermisch" <paul@ermisch.com>
59) [168701] The King is dead - long lives the King !
by Ingo Meyer DK3RED <dk3red@t-online.de>
60) [168702] Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]
by "Pastor-kc1di" <elbc2@gwi.net>
61) [168703] QRP-CW list on the air
by Jason Buchanan <jsb@digistar.com>
62) [168704] Fw: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag
by ed miller <millered@magnet.fsu.edu>
63) [168705] RE: The Truth about Yahoo
by "NA4FM \ (Buck\)" <na4fm-list@towncorp.net>
64) [168706] RE: The Truth about Yahoo
by "NA4FM \ (Buck\)" <na4fm-list@towncorp.net>
65) [168707] Thanks to Jim, N3VXI
by tjennin2@rochester.rr.com
66) [168708] Your courtesies is appreciated.
by "NA4FM \ (Buck\)" <na4fm-list@towncorp.net>
67) [168709] Re: Emergency Field Kit

- by Bob KB2FEL <kb2fel@yahoo.com>
- 68) [168710] Re: working George the Fox ...
by "Nick Kennedy" <wa5bdu@tcainternet.com>
- 69) [168711] Re: working George the Fox ...
by George Fremin III <geoiii@kkn.net>
- 70) [168712] Elmer 160 & PIC-EL serial cable
by "kennymac" <killmodell@earthlink.net>
- 71) [168713] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by Dale Botkin <dale@botkin.org>
- 72) [168714] Re: Thanks to Jim, N3VXI
by Jimmy Lee <jrlaudio@bellsouth.net>
- 73) [168715] Coordinating Lists
by kenneth hoglund <hoglund@wfu.edu>
- 74) [168716] Re: Elmer 160
by "Craig Johnson" <cbjohns@cbjohns.com>
- 75) [168717] Thank You Jim E.
by "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
- 76) [168718] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
- 77) [168719] Re: Next kit offering - some ideas
by "Noyce, Bill" <william.noyce@hp.com>
- 78) [168720] Re: New QRP List?
by "Russ Hines" <wb8zcc@fuse.net>
- 79) [168721] Invite to Puyallup Swapmeet--06 March
by ARDUJENSKI@aol.com
- 80) [168722] RE: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by "Boulineau, Lee" <lee.boulineau@attws.com>
- 81) [168723] Re: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag
by "Donn" <dkuse@suwanneevalley.net>
- 82) [168724] "Moderation": Look it up.
by "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
- 83) [168725] unsubscribe
by John Kalotai <n1olo@yahoo.com>
- 84) [168726] Re: AmQRP thanks Jim for many good years of QRP-L
by "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
- 85) [168727] Montana QRPfest?
by Gary Slagel <gdslagel@yahoo.com>
- 86) [168728] QRP-L & QRPARCI
by "Dick" <dick@pascoes.plus.com>
- 87) [168729] Re: Help with the DDS Daughtercard
by "Brian Riley (maillist)" <n1bq_list@wulfdn.org>
- 88) [168730] remove
by Lou Roux <w6ur@bak.rr.com>
- 89) [168731] Re: The future of QRP-L
by "Rod N0RC" <rc7039-hr@yahoo.com>
- 90) [168732] Thanks to Jim
by "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>
- 91) [168733] Re: Next kit offering

- by Steve Lawrence <Steve.Lawrence@ITWFEG.COM>
- 92) [168734] Re: working George the Fox ...
by Garie Halstead K8KFJ <khyberpass65@yahoo.com>
- 93) [168735] RE: Montana QRPfest?
by "rattray" <rattray@accesscomm.ca>
- 94) [168736] remove
by Spoolieman@aol.com
- 95) [168737] Re: Next kit offering - some ideas
by Don <dwitt@htctech.net>
- 96) [168738] Re: Next kit offering
by Shawn Upton <kb1ckt@yahoo.com>
- 97) [168739] Atlanticon and Ozarkcon Registrations
by "Doug Hendricks" <ki6ds@dpol.net>
- 98) [168740] Re: "Moderation": Look it up.
by Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>
- 99) [168741] RE: [qrp-l] remove: HOW-TO
by "Nick Kennedy" <wa5bdu@tcainternet.com>
- 100) [168742] Lists for QRP
by "Thom R. Lacosta" <lacosta@bcpl.net>
- 101) [168743] Thanks.....
by John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
- 102) [168744] Re: [QRP-L] Antennas on metal buildings?
by "Paul , VE1DY" <ve1dy@eudoramail.com>
- 103) [168745] Re: working George the Fox ...
by George Fremin III <geoiii@kkn.net>
- 104) [168746] Proposed QRP test box
by Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
- 105) [168747] Reminder: unsubscribe
by Ingo Meyer DK3RED <dk3red@t-online.de>
- 106) [168748] Re: Proposed QRP test box
by "Bob Tellefsen" <n6wg@comcast.net>
- 107) [168749] Re: Reminder: unsubscribe
by "Bob Tellefsen" <n6wg@comcast.net>
- 108) [168750] FS:Rockmite, Emtech NW80, etc.
by John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
- 109) [168751] Re: Proposed QRP test box
by "Steve" <sswhite@mchsi.com>
- 110) [168752] New QRP-L application rejected
by "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
- 111) [168753] Re: Too Many Chiefs?
by Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>
- 112) [168754] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
- 113) [168755] Re: Goodby QRP-L
by George Gingell <k3tks@u1.abs.net>
- 114) [168756] RE: Coordinating Lists
by kenneth hoglund <hoglund@wfu.edu>
- 115) [168757] Re: Coordinating Lists

by Tom Mc <redmen1969@optonline.net>
116) [168758] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
by Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
117) [168759] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
by "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
118) [168760] Re: Emergency Field Kit
by Howard Oakley <hoakley@btconnect.com>
119) [168761] Re: working George the Fox ...
by Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>
120) [168762] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
by "John Payne" <paynej1@isp.com>
121) [168763] re:FS:Rockmite, Emtech NW80, etc.
by John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
122) [168764] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
123) [168765] QRP FS at Richmond Frostfest
by "Alan Fryer" <N3BJ@hotmail.com>
124) [168766] Re: Too Many Chiefs?
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
125) [168767] Joining the new QRP-L List
by Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
126) [168768] Thanks Jim!!
by w4bld@juno.com
127) [168769] re:
by John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
128) [168770] Re: "Moderation": Look it up.
by "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
129) [168771] Re: Lists for QRP
by jsb@digistar.com
130) [168772] :Rejected"
by "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
131) [168773] Re: :Rejected"
by Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>
132) [168774] Help: Norcal Paddle
by "Tim Kass" <timkass@hotmail.com>
133) [168775] Rejected: II Final
by "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
134) [168776] LET'S FOOL OURSELVES AGAIN, WHY DON'T WE...
by Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>
135) [168777] RE: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??
by "Kevin M., W8VOS" <adverseyaw@twmi.rr.com>
136) [168778] QRP FS ITEMS
by ARDUJENSKI@aol.com
137) [168779] EPA QRP Club Changes
by "Ron Polityka" <wb3aal@verizon.net>
138) [168780] Contest Exchange
by "Pat Whelton" <pwhelton@earthlink.net>
139) [168781] Re: EPA QRP Club Changes

by "John_Evans" <jaevans@codenet.net>
140) [168782] Re: Rejected: II Final ----How to handle name/call question
by "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
141) [168783] Re: :Rejected"
by "steve" <swells244@bellsouth.net>
142) [168784] Re: LET'S FOOL OURSELVES AGAIN, WHY DON'T WE...
by "Howard Kraus" <K2UD@adelphia.net>
143) [168785] Paraset WW2 Spy Radio
by "Doug Hendricks" <ki6ds@dpol.net>
144) [168786] Solar power?
by Rob Matherly <jimrob@jetnetinc.net>
145) [168787] Re: Contest Exchange
by George Fremin III <geoiii@kkn.net>
146) [168788] Re: Paraset WW2 Spy Radio
by Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>
147) [168789] Re: Contest Exchange
by John Sielke <jsielke@pobox.com>
148) [168790] qth.net
by "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
149) [168791] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
by Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
150) [168792] Re: The future of QRP-L
by Dale Botkin <dale@botkin.org>
151) [168793] Re: Rejected: II Final ----How to handle name/call question
by "Philip L. Carter" <pcarter@gcfn.org>
152) [168794] Re: Solar power?
by "Cal Cotner" <cal.jsi@verizon.net>
153) [168795] Re: The future of QRP-L
by "DTX" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 18:09:34 -0600
From: Glen Reid <k5fx@arrl.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168643] Re: future of qrp-l
Message-ID: <6.0.1.1.2.20040219180108.023e8160@pop-server.austin.rr.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

The Austin QRP Club has maintained a club list on Yahoo since 1998.

The AQRN list is un moderated, has about 100 members and to date has suffered from exactly two cases of SPAM in six years.

I am not proposing the AQRN as a replacement for QRP-l, Just stating the facts of my actual experience as the manager of the AQRN list on Yahoo Groups

I get several orders of magnitude more SPAM from my arrl.net address

gr

GLEN REID
k5fx@arrl.net
Austin, Texas

"The only difference between genius and stupidity is that...genius has its limits"

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 18:10:02 -0600
From: "Steve" <sswhite@mchsi.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168644] Fw: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??
Message-ID: <059301c3f745\$e30cd920\$c801a8c0@workstation>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----
From: "Steve" <sswhite@mchsi.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:02 PM
Subject: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??

I have still not seen my copy so am wondering if anyone else is still waiting like I am??

Steve NU0P

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:28:28 -0700 (MST)
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>

To: Glen Reid <k5fx@arrl.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168645] Re: future of qrp-l
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0402191727190.5244-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Glen Reid wrote:

>
> The Austin QRP Club has maintained a club list on Yahoo since 1998.
>
> The AQRP list is un moderated, has about 100 members and to date has
> suffered from exactly two cases of SPAM in six years.
>
> I am not proposing the AQRP as a replacement for QRP-l, Just stating the
> facts of my actual experience as the manager of the AQRP list on Yahoo Groups

Hi Glen, we have all moved to qrp-l on qsl.net and it's working
just fine. Come join us!

>
> I get several orders of magnitude more SPAM from my arrl.net address
>
> gr
>
>
>
>
>
>
> GLEN REID
> k5fx@arrl.net
> Austin, Texas
>
> "The only difference between genius and stupidity is that...genius has its
> limits"
>
>
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 16:26:09 -0800
From: John Rollins <kd7bcy@teleport.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168646] Re: future of qrp-l
Message-ID: <a06020402bc5b029c4846@[192.168.0.2]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

>I am not proposing the AQRP as a replacement for QRP-l, Just stating
>the facts of my actual experience as the manager of the AQRP list
>on Yahoo Groups

I'd have to agree that the spam problem is not as big as everyone thinks IF the list is configured properly. I've done a few lists on YahooGroups(going back to the days of OneList and I forget the name of the other), as well as qth.net. Both have gotten spammed, the ones at qth.net bounced like they should have and were deleted(altho I seem to remember one getting through when a spammer actually subscribed to the list first), and the one or two that showed up on Yahoo went out but were deleted from the archives and banned. Another thing that helps a LOT with cutting back on spam is the use of a bayesian filter on your inbox. I use a program called SpamSieve on my Macintosh and it does a fine job.

I must say I'm a little sad that the current incarnation of qrp-l is going away. It seems that the best of the internet always go away at the worst times... I still miss The Firing Line BBS and the TACTICS list, neither of which has ever been properly replaced in any form. The users either moved on to varied other forums or just went away. I'm all for moving the entire list over to qth.net, I have already subscribed there. Come on over everyone! It would be a terrible loss for us all if this community were to break up.

And as long as we're on the subject of mailing lists and BBS's, feel free to come on over to the Ham-Mac mailing list on the qth.net servers if you use a Macintosh, and I have a ham radio BBS setup at <http://forums.kd7bcy.com/> that I invite everyone to use for discussions that would be OT for QRP-L.

--

John Rollins | KD7BCY | <http://www.kd7bcy.com>
DALnet #Apollo_Domain | Ham-Mac mailing list <http://mailman.qth.net>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 19:29:09 -0500
From: "Mark S. Adams, P.E." <msadams@acsu.buffalo.edu>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168647] K7RE/C6ALK on 10.116 QRP NOW!
Message-ID: <768616772.1077218949@K2Q0>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Content-Disposition: inline

It is 00:28 UTC now and Brian is on 10.116. Just bagged him with 5w from WNY.

72,
mark K2Q0

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 01:31:53 +0100 (CET)
From: dl6aaf@t-online.de
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168648] Fwd: 15th OQRPC: Where They Came From
Message-ID: <200402200031.i1K0Vs601096@joringel.privnet.de>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

QRP-Contest-Community (qrpcc)
c/o Dr.Hartmut Weber, DJ7ST
Schlesierweg 13
D-38228 SALZGITTER
Phone: +495341-50113

17-Feb-2004

Dear QRP friends,

here the country-wise breakdown of the more than 250(!) participants of the 15th ORIGINAL-QRP-CONTEST (27/28th Dec. 2003) who submitted logs:

134 from DL	7 from SP	5 from OZ	2 from GI	1 from EA8
21 from OK	7 from I	4 from HA	2 from LZ	1 from LA
14 from PA	7 from EA	3 from OE	2 from S5	1 from LY
9 from F	6 from HB	3 from OH	2 from UA	1 from SM
9 from G	6 from ON	3 from OM	2 from UR	1 from UC
				1 from 9A

This amounts to a remarkable 20% increase over the corresponding

13th OQRPC one year ago. Using "snail mail" reminders "just in time" another time has been effective without any doubt. Not only as far as the final sum is concerned, but also when specifically targeted, as e.g. logs from EA, G, and SP demonstrate. Into these countries info-letters were not only sent to those who took part in the last OQRPC, but also to some "sleepers". As a result G, the country which "invented" QRP, is again appropriately represented after a statistical descent back in the 14th OQRPC to a "QRP developing country"

No change to the narrow absolute majority (52%) of participants from DL, a majority we would be glad to lose. A higher number of logs from neighbouring countries, as far as condx reach, is one declared aim of the QRPCC's public relation efforts. Here again my request: Please send in addresses of QRP-magazines, contest column editors, etc.. Postal and/or e-mail addresses or Packet Radio mailbox, all are welcome! A native language information about QRPCC events surely will stimulate a few more operators into action.

The traditional "row" for 2nd place this time was won by the OK, pronouncedly ahead of PA. Also as a result of active support from OK-QRP-media. For this a cordial thank you!

73/2 "Hal", Hartmut, DJ7ST

(tks for translation to Eddi, DK3UZ)

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 19:46:37 -0500
From: "AD4MZ Bob C" <ellyncooley@earthlink.net>
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>, <jsb@digistar.com>
Subject: [168649] Re: CW Learning Curves?
Message-ID: <000801c3f74b\$006d5940\$dbf21d43@system2002>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jason,

What a great web site you sent me to. One of the most interesting reading I've done about CW in a long time. This should be required reading for anyone with interest in CW or the history of it.

73

Bob

AD4MZ

----- Original Message -----

From: <jsb@digistar.com>

To: "AD4MZ Bob C" <ellyncooley@earthlink.net>

Cc: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 11:09 AM

Subject: Re: CW Learning Curves?

> On Tue, 17 Feb 2004, AD4MZ Bob C wrote:

>

> > Different types of "head copy" are possible. Would like to hear from
> > any other EX ASA High Speed CW ops (05H). Reading the thread here about
> > the head copy techniques made me curious if others experienced the same
> > things I went through in terms of learning and re-learning to copy code.

>

> <http://www.raes.ab.ca/book/> is a great place to start for everyone wanting
> to get started with CW.

>

>

> 73 Jason N1SU

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:49:44 -0700

From: "Niels Kristjansson" <nkristja@telus.net>

To: "QRP-L" <QRP-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168650] Fw: K7RE/C6ALK on 10.116 QRP NOW!

Message-ID: <000601c3f74b\$6ec332c0\$559435c6@ab.hsia.telus.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Got him from AB Canada with 5W

72

de Niels VA6NJ

----- Original Message -----

From: "Mark S. Adams, P.E." <msadams@acsu.buffalo.edu>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 5:29 PM

Subject: K7RE/C6ALK on 10.116 QRP NOW!

> It is 00:28 UTC now and Brian is on 10.116. Just bagged him with 5w from
> WNY.
>
> 72,
> mark K2QO
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:54:55 -0700
From: "Niels Kristjansson" <nkristja@telus.net>
To: "QRP-L" <QRP-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168651] Need info on Fluke 1900A
Message-ID: <001001c3f74c\$27dd5e20\$559435c6@ab.hsia.telus.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi gang,

Having been shown the riot act I am
cleaning up (not out) the shack and
came across a Fluke 1900A Frequency
Counter that followed me home from
a flea market a few years ago.

I was wondering if anyone had a schematic
of this counter, will pay costs.

72,
de Niels VA6NJ

PS: Better get this in here before the sky falls ;-}

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:14:22 -0500
From: Tom Mc <redmen1969@optonline.net>
To: k5di@zianet.com,
 Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168652] Re: future of qrp-l
Message-ID: <002d01c3f74e\$e87690a0\$b34ab818@x2f6a2>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Hi guys,

Can somebody tell me where to go to subscribe once I get to QSL.net?

Thanks

Tom WB2QDG

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Glen Reid wrote:

Hi Glen, we have all moved to qrp-l on qsl.net and it's working just fine. Come join us!

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 18:38:14 -0700 (MST)
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
To: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168653] Re: qrp-l and qsl.net
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0402191831520.5244-1000000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Karl Larsen wrote:

>
> I just got 25 messages from the New qrp-l list. This list
> appears to be where qrp-l will be for the near future. To join this list
> point your browser to:
>
> <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>
>

There is great confusion between qsl.net and qth.net among those wanting to join the new QRP-L list. I will try and explain it here.

The web page for the main system is <http://www.qsl.net> and that is where you can go to the Mailman section. There you will see the new address is:

<http://www.qth.net>

and this is of course a change and is very confusing. It had me confused as well.

So do not worry just goto:

<http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

and join this list.

> and give it your email address you want the list email to go to and a
> simple password like your first name and in a few hours, Jim Lowman will
> get around to letting you in. He is super busy now because the whole
> list is rushing over to sign in...:-)

>

> In my opinion this is the best of many possible solutions. And I
> had nothing to do with it...hi

>

>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:36:41 -0800
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168654] Re: New QRP List?
Message-ID: <20040220013641.GB4995@office.n7xy.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Thu, Feb 19, 2004 at 04:34:59PM -0700, Karl Larsen wrote:

> On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Bob Hightower wrote:

>

> > What I am waiting to hear is where the folks who run Atlanticon, Lobstercon,
> > Ozark-con, Pacificon and the other gatherings, as well as those who offer
> > the great kits that have become available, to let us know which list they
> > are going to be on.

> >

> > Then, I will decide which one to join.

> >

> > All the infighting about which service or list is best is just so much
> > background noise.

>

> Hi Bob, it's the QRP-L list on the qsl.net server where everyone
> is going. If you need help let me know.

>

Karl, it's qth.net (actually mailman.qth.net), not qsl.net. Both
servers are run by Al, K3TKJ, which may cause some confusion (qsl.net
is for personal web pages and email accounts).

73,

Bob, N7XY

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:40:17 -0800

From: Steve Smith <sigcom@juno.com>

To: redmen1969@optonline.net

Cc: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU

Subject: [168655] Re: future of qrp-l

Message-ID: <20040219.174017.-695431.6.sigcom@juno.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This will get you directly to the sign-up page:

<http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

Good luck.

73.....Steve Smith WB6TNL

Oxnard, CA USA

"Snort Rosin"

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:14:22 -0500 Tom Mc <redmen1969@optonline.net>
writes:

> Hi guys,

> Can somebody tell me where to go to subscribe once I get to

> QSL.net?

>

> Thanks

> Tom WB2QDG

>

>

> On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Glen Reid wrote:
>
> Hi Glen, we have all moved to qrp-l on qsl.net and it's working
> just fine. Come join us!
>
>
>
>
>

The best thing to hit the Internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!
Surf the Web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month - visit www.juno.com to sign up today!

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:43:27 -0800
From: Steve Smith <sigcom@juno.com>
To: nielsen@oz.net
Cc: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168656] Re: New QRP List?
Message-ID: <20040219.174327.-695431.7.sigcom@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

You -can- get there via QSL.net, but it's sort of round-about. On the left side of the home page, click on "EMail Reflectors" then search the reflector list for QRP-L.

Easier to just go direct to the sign-up page:

<http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

73.....Steve Smith WB6TNL
Oxnard, CA USA
"Snort Rosin"

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004 17:36:41 -0800 Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net> writes:

> Karl, it's qth.net (actually mailman.qth.net), not qsl.net. Both
> servers are run by Al, K3TKJ, which may cause some confusion
> (qsl.net
> is for personal web pages and email accounts).
>
> 73,
> Bob, N7XY

>
>
>

The best thing to hit the Internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!
Surf the Web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month - visit www.juno.com to sign up today!

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 19:49:18 -0600
From: "Joe Mann" <joemann@chicagonet.net>
To: "qrp-1" <qrp-1@Lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168657] FW: Do I need a new soldering iron?
Message-ID: <002001c3f753\$c08fc660\$8503bb42@joeii>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jason,

Try <http://www.epemag.wimborne.co.uk/solderfaq.htm>

Several web pages of soldering info with pictures.

Joe, K9HDE

PS GOOGLE is your friend.

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf
Of Jason Hsu
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:39 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Do I need a new soldering iron?

Do I need a new soldering iron? I don't have enough soldering
experience to
know if I'm using a good one or a bad one. I'm not sure if my soldering
skills or my soldering iron is at fault.

The soldering iron I've been using is the Radio Shack 15W/30W soldering
iron. Much of the soldering iron has gunk on it, including the part
that
connects to the soldering iron tip. I have begun trying to solder by

heating the wire instead of heating the solder directly, and it often takes too long to melt the solder, more than the few seconds I think it's supposed to take. (This is very noticeable when the lead touches a neighboring area of solder. I think the other area of solder acts as a heat sink.)

On eham.net, the Radio Shack soldering irons are heavily panned:
<http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/318>

Note that the average rating is only 2.1 out of 5. 3 of the 8 ratings are 0, and 1 of the 8 ratings is 1. Even the more positive reviewers say it's not as good as other brands like Weller.

What do you all think? Should I replace my Radio Shack soldering iron with a Weller? Or can my soldering problems be attributed to a lack of skill on my part?

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
personal AAAATTTT [jasonhsu.com](http://www.jasonhsu.com)
<http://www.jasonhsu.com/ee.html>
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/eeham/>
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/resume_hyperinflation_fighters/
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmu-ece-control>

Incoming mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).
Version: 6.0.592 / Virus Database: 375 - Release Date: 2/18/04

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 18:53:13 -0700
From: Jeff Chambers <jeffchambers@cableone.net>
To: qrp-l@mailman.qth.net,
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168658] Help with the DDS Daughtercard
Message-ID: <40356889.4020406@cableone.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I completed my Pic-E1 board with no problems! However, when I try to monitor the tones transmitted via the DDS Daughtercard, nothing can be heard.

I need some help troubleshooting this! I have 5V to VR1, and the board draws a bit over 100ma. The voltage regulator, DDS, MAR-1A amplifier and its bias resistor R4 are all be warm to the touch, as written.

I do not get a 100 MHz signal coming out...

Any help is appreciated...

--

Build a mile of road, you can travel a mile.....
Build a mile of runway, you can travel the world!

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 19:59:39 -0600
From: "Joe Mann" <joemann@chicagonet.net>
To: "qrp-l" <qrp-l@Lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168659] FW: FT-817 help needed
Message-ID: <002101c3f755\$331faaa0\$8503bb42@joeii>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Outstanding case of unconditional positive regard and good Elmering.

Makes one wonder why this version of the list is becoming a SK.

Joe, K9HDE

FWIW An organization is not judged by how they reward the strongest, but how they help the weakest member.

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of Karl Larsen
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:29 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Re: FT-817 help needed

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, John wrote:

> This is kind of a long story so I'll try to keep it short.
>
> The problem is that when I turn the rig on I get a blinking display.
> Everything looks good on the display and all of the panel functions
work
> including the menus. If I try to transmit the screen goes blank with
the
> exception if TX ERROR being displayed.

I think your wrong to even attempt what you and your friend did.
To do it a second time is real dumb! You will need to do it a third time
and if that works LEAVE IT ALONE!!!!

>
> It all started when I needed to replace the set control which I did
with the
> help of a local friend of mine but while re-assembling the front panel
the
> header latch on the main board broke which I was able to fix
> using hot glue and the rig worked fine. Today I needed to pull the
front
> panel again and in doing
> so I pulled the cable out of where I had fixed it so I needed to fix
it
> again. I noticed this problem after fixing the header/cable and that I
had
> hit two of the pins for the optional filter and they were touching.
Don't
> know
> if I did any damage doing that.
>
> Any help/advice would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks.
> John K2JHU...
> Melbourne Beach, FL
> South Island Real Estate
> johndorson@worldshare.net
>
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Incoming mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (<http://www.grisoft.com>).
Version: 6.0.592 / Virus Database: 375 - Release Date: 2/18/04

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:08:46 -0600
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
To: <redmen1969@optonline.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168660] Re: future of qrp-l
Message-ID: <047601c3f756\$a836fd10\$0402a8c0@PS>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Go to www.mailman.qth.net and under the list of reflectors, find and check QRP-L. Then follow the forms from there.

QSL.NET is another operation altogether.

73, George W5YR
w5yr@att.net
<http://www.w5yr.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tom Mc" <redmen1969@optonline.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 7:14 PM
Subject: Re: future of qrp-l

> Hi guys,
> Can somebody tell me where to go to subscribe once I get to QSL.net?
>
> Thanks
> Tom WB2QDG
>
>
> On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Glen Reid wrote:

>
> Hi Glen, we have all moved to qrp-1 on qsl.net and it's working
> just fine. Come join us!
>
>
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:26:34 -0600
From: "Nick Kennedy" <wa5bdu@tcainternet.com>
To: <w5yr@att.net>,
 "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168661] RE: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <003b01c3f758\$f5618ea0\$0400000a@wa5bdu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I could not hear him at all in NW-central Arkansas.

Is it possible that a contest level 40 meter beam is actually optimized for DX and less than optimum for regional QSOs? I think both foxes were running beams, but the "8" was far enough away for me to hear.

A story ...

Years ago in Missouri I got a rep for being a fairly good contest CW operator. But my own station was modest. A guy invited me to work the sprint from his station, which included two or three elements on 40 at 100 feet. Also an amplifier the size of a washing machine. The sprint was a 4 hour domestic contest. It was a tremendous struggle. I made maybe 1/2 as many Qs as I'd done from my own station in the previous sprint and I slunk away pretty embarrassed. Next sprint, the guy ran his own station and ended up pulling the plug in disgust after an hour or so. So it wasn't just me. That's when we came up with the idea that this antenna, optimized for DX, didn't cut it "locally".

What do you think? Any truth to the idea. Would a dipole at 40 feet have been better for the 0 to 800 mile range stuff?

72--Nick, WA5BDU

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf

Of George, W5YR
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 5:04 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: [qrp-l]

Actually, it was a matter of who could hear George and a large dose of luck for the two of us who could. He was barely audible above the noise here in North Texas -

73, George W5YR
w5yr@att.net
<http://www.w5yr.com>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 19:33:59 -0700
From: "Bill Walker" <wv7g@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168662] Re: Next kit offering
Message-ID: <00c101c3f759\$fee86920\$0200a8c0@pluto>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Computer interface for data logging. You asked. 8-)

Bill Walker - WV7G

----- Original Message -----
From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 10:36 AM
Subject: Next kit offering

> Here's a heads up on the next kit I plan on developing for this coming
> summer.
>
> It will be a test box for working on QRP rigs. It will intergrate a number
> of test functions into one box.
>
> 1. 10 watt dummy load
> 2. RF power meter
> 3. RF volt meter

> 4. Frequency counter
> 5. AF signal generator
> 6. Possibly provisions for adding a NJQRP DDS daughter board for RF signal
> generation
> 7. Suggestions for additional functions welcome.
>
>
> 72,
> Steve, KD1JV
> "Melt Solder"
> White Mountains of New Hampshire
> <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:37:20 -0500
From: Michael Babineau <michael.babineau@sympatico.ca>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168663] Gone to qrp-1@mailman.qth.net
Message-ID: <B511945A-634D-11D8-9561-00039309268A@sympatico.ca>
Mime-Version: 1.0 (Apple Message framework v553)
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Title says it all .. I'm off to qrp-1@mailman.qth.net.

Hopefully the folks on that list will want to discuss QRP
related topics.

72 and hope to see most of you there.

Michael VE3WMB

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:44:47 -0600
From: KD5NWA <KD5NWA@cbayona.com>
To: <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168664] RE: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <6.0.3.0.0.20040219204325.022ef270@127.0.0.1>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

Or an inverted V, it has a very high takeoff angle which is good for close contacts.

At 08:26 PM 2/19/2004, Nick Kennedy wrote:

>I could not hear him at all in NW-central Arkansas.

>

>Is it possible that a contest level 40 meter beam is actually optimized
>for DX and less than optimum for regional QSOs? I think both foxes were
>running beams, but the "8" was far enough away for me to hear.

>

>A story ...

>

>Years ago in Missouri I got a rep for being a fairly good contest CW
>operator. But my own station was modest. A guy invited me to work the
>sprint from his station, which included two or three elements on 40 at
>100 feet. Also an amplifier the size of a washing machine. The sprint
>was a 4 hour domestic contest. It was a tremendous struggle. I made
>maybe 1/2 as many Qs as I'd done from my own station in the previous
>sprint and I slunk away pretty embarrassed. Next sprint, the guy ran
>his own station and ended up pulling the plug in disgust after an hour
>or so. So it wasn't just me. That's when we came up with the idea that
>this antenna, optimized for DX, didn't cut it "locally".

>

>What do you think? Any truth to the idea. Would a dipole at 40 feet
>have been better for the 0 to 800 mile range stuff?

>

>72--Nick, WA5BDU

>

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf
>Of George, W5YR

>Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 5:04 PM

>To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

>Subject: [qrp-1]

>

>

>Actually, it was a matter of who could hear George and a large dose of
>luck for the two of us who could. He was barely audible above the noise
>here in North Texas -

>

>73, George W5YR

>w5yr@att.net

><http://www.w5yr.com>

Cecil
KD5NWA

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 20:45:52 -0600
From: "Steve w0oow" <w0oow@piperscreek.com>
To: <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168665] Keystrokes
Message-ID: <029401c3f75b\$a89186e0\$6401a8c0@net.alltel.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just think if all of these keystrokes were on the air... what a wonderful world it would be. The bands would be active/crowded again. I know, I know, I am a hypocrite by typing too.

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:49:18 -0500
From: Gary Lee <kb9zuv@arrl.net>
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168666] steve's next kit suggestion
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.2.20040219214826.02735270@pop.ameritech.yahoo.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

How about integrating the amqrp lc meter?

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:53:24 -0500
From: "Brian Murrey" <brian@iquest.net>
To: <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168667] Re: Next kit offering
Message-ID: <01cf01c3f75c\$b60f1700\$0b64030a@bjmw2k>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Steve,

U R da man.

QRP rocks, and u kepp it keepin on.

----- Original Message -----

From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 12:36 PM
Subject: Next kit offering

> Here's a heads up on the next kit I plan on developing for this coming
> summer.
>
> It will be a test box for working on QRP rigs. It will intergrate a
number
> of test functions into one box.
>
> 1. 10 watt dummy load
> 2. RF power meter
> 3. RF volt meter
> 4. Frequency counter
> 5. AF signal generator
> 6. Possibly pervisions for adding a NJQRP DDS daughter board for RF
signal
> generation
> 7. Suggestions for additional functions welcome.
>
>
> 72,
> Steve, KD1JV
> "Melt Solder"
> White Mountains of New Hampshire
> <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
>
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:53:24 -0500
From: "Lawrence Makoski" <Makos327@worldnet.att.net>
To: "Chris Trask" <chistrask@earthlink.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168668] Re: Calling Ones Boss
Message-ID: <014301c3f75c\$b63563b0\$02d74b0c@larrysahyqy001>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

From: "Chris Trask" > Character assassination happens all the time.
Look around you at work.
> It serves to promote the incompetent.
>

Chris,

You know my immediate supervisor where I work ? ;)

73 de Larry W2LJ - Vivat Morse!

W2LJ@arrl.net
<http://www.qsl.net/w2lj>

ARRL Lifemember QRP ARCI #4488 NJQRP #47
FISTS #1469 QRP-L #778 FP #612 QRPp-I #759
ARS #1528 --- K1 #1647 --- AmQRP, CQC #746

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:57:22 -0500
From: "Brian Murrey" <brian@iquest.net>
To: <w1rfi@arrl.org>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168669] Re: QRP-Demise
Message-ID: <020b01c3f75d\$43a82ca0\$0b64030a@bjmw2k>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Amen Ed

Needs to be all of us, not some of us

----- Original Message -----
From: "Hare,Ed, W1RFI" <w1rfi@arrl.org>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 2:04 PM
Subject: RE: QRP-Demise

> > Sure would be an opportune moment for QRP-ARCI to actually
> > step up and do something here.
>
> I'm not at all sure that would be the best course of action. IMHO,
there is great value in having a QRP discussion
> list that is separate from any major QRP organization. Any moderation
that may be needed is then seen to be and
> is separate from any organizational objectives. In most cases, a
moderator can (mostly) disassociate from those
> sorts of things, but if a thread were to develop discussing something
negative about ARCI and that thread
> turned ugly so that a moderator had to deal with personal attacks, if
that moderator were an ARCI representative,
> would it really be seen as being impartial? Would a whole new thread
erupt about whether the moderation
> was appropriate? Could any human being really trust himself or
herself to always be impartial under those
> circumstances? It could even go in the other direction, with a
moderator bending over backwards to appear
> impartial, so not moderating as appropriate.
>
> I prefer having a watering hole that is a real grass roots project. It
is like the difference between attending a town
> meeting where things are done formally to getting together in the bar
after the meeting to say what's really on
> your mind. We have plenty of opportunities to deal formally with our
organizations; let's keep a place that allows
> us to discuss things openly, subject only to the general guide that
the posts be about QRP and that we conduct
> ourselves in a responsible fashion. Most moderators recognize this
and moderate far more by leadership than
> by force.
>
> 73,
> Ed Hare, W1RFI
>
>
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:59:59 -0500
From: "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168670] Re: Elmer 160
Message-ID: <001a01c3f75d\$a1530e60\$080044c0@BrianBoru>

MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----

From: "Craig Johnson" <cbjohns@cbjohns.com>
Subject: Re: Elmer 160

> Seriously, you don't really need a box, although it is nice. I would
suggest
> using something, however. You can use some sort of stand-offs instead.
Just
> having it lay flat on a workbench is asking for trouble - too easy to
short out
> the traces on the bottom with stray pieces of wire or other "things" on
the
> bench. I know! (The box offers the best protection.)

Without something at least around the bottom, it's practically impossible to
avoid shorting something from time to time. I built up a little half-box
from aluminum. Not pretty but it keeps me from laying it down on something
conductive.

72/73 de WB8RCR <http://www.qsl.net/wb8rcr>
didileydadidah QRP-L #1446 Code Warriors #35

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:58:46 -0500
From: "Brad Hedges" <bhedges@nc.rr.com>
To: <nkennedy@tcainternet.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168671] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <005701c3f75d\$75cd16a0\$6d252118@nc.rr.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It is absolutely possible, even probable. I have just begun to get
interested in contesting and have subscribed to some of the various lists
devoted to that pursuit. Only a day or two ago I was reading a bit about
this very thing. There is (I guess) a lot of info available on take-off
angles, based on antenna type and height above ground, along with a million

and one variables based on the ground within several miles of the station/antenna.

So, in a word, yes - different distances require different antennas, to be most effective.

Brad
K0BHC

> I could not hear him at all in NW-central Arkansas.
>
> Is it possible that a contest level 40 meter beam is actually optimized
> for DX and less than optimum for regional QSOs? I think both foxes were
> running beams, but the "8" was far enough away for me to hear.

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:14:23 -0600
From: "Jim Sheldon" <w0eb@cox.net>
To: "QRP-L Mailing List" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168672] RE: Elmer 160
Message-ID: <IBEGLCMLCDHHEFLBPHBOCEIPDBAA.w0eb@cox.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I mounted 4 3/4" standoffs in the holes provided, and it stands on them as feet until I can find or build something suitable to house it in. I have a nice big sheet of 3/16" thick Lexan that I'll cut up for bottom, sides, front and back if I can figure out the right glue for the stuff, or I may just mount it on a chunk of old aluminum rack panel I have been cutting pieces out of.

John's right, at least the underside needs to be protected, or you'll eventually short something out. In my shack I need to protect the top too as I'm always dropping small screws etc. All I'd need is to drop one on it while it's powered up. I hate fixing things
I shouldn't have to hi hi.

Jim, W0EB

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of John J. McDonough

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 9:00 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Re: Elmer 160

----- Original Message -----

From: "Craig Johnson" <cbjohns@cbjohns.com>
Subject: Re: Elmer 160

> Seriously, you don't really need a box, although it is nice. I would suggest
> using something, however. You can use some sort of stand-offs instead. Just
> having it lay flat on a workbench is asking for trouble - too easy to short out
> the traces on the bottom with stray pieces of wire or other "things" on the
> bench. I know! (The box offers the best protection.)

Without something at least around the bottom, it's practically impossible to avoid shorting something from time to time. I built up a little half-box from aluminum. Not pretty but it keeps me from laying it down on something conductive.

72/73 de WB8RCR <http://www.qsl.net/wb8rcr>
didileydadidah QRP-L #1446 Code Warriors #35

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:18:50 -0500
From: "Jason Hsu, AG4DG" <jhs001@heronetwork.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168673] Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <00ae01c3f760\$45285ca0\$64923144@aoldsl.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks for your responses on my soldering iron questions. I hadn't realized that solder should only take a few seconds to melt even when INDIRECTLY heated. You have convinced me that my Radio Shack soldering iron is the Yugo of soldering irons. Even after brushing off gunk on the soldering iron tip, I STILL sometimes had difficulty soldering. Considering that my career is tied into this, it is definitely worth paying more for quality. (By the

way, I am seeking employment as an analog electronics hardware engineer.)

Fortunately for me, Arcade Electronics (my local electronics supplier) has a sale right now on soldering irons. The Weller WES51 soldering station is on sale for \$89 (excluding the \$20 rebate, which effectively brings the price down to around \$70). This soldering station has 50W and a 350-850-degree temperature range.

The Weller WLC100 soldering station is on sale for \$42.99 (excluding the \$13 rebate, which would effectively bring the price down to around \$30). This one has a variable 5-40W power control.

Which of these two soldering irons should I buy? Just how important is the temperature control? In case you are wondering, I will only build through-hole projects, not surface-mount. I have no plans to build an Elecraft kit. (I'd rather just buy an Icom 706MKIIG or Yaesu FT-100/857/897. I realize I'm not a purist, but I view homebrewing mainly as a way to create devices that I cannot buy at any price. The perfect example of this is my QROP Meter, the Next Generation SWR/Wattmeter.)

Jason Hsu, AG4DG
personal AAAATTTT jasonhsu.com
<http://www.jasonhsu.com/ee.html>
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/eeham/>
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/resume_hyperinflation_fighters/
<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gmu-ece-control>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:28:39 -0500
From: Peter Burbank <peterlee@qx.net>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168674] QTH net signup
Message-ID: <5.2.0.9.0.20040219222631.00a5ead0@mail.qx.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I'm missing something. Where do you enter name and call?
Pete NV4V

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:32:24 -0500
From: "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168675] Re: Help with the DDS Daughtercard
Message-ID: <004e01c3f762\$29c8ff80\$6400a8c0@n2apb1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sounds like your DDS card is not functioning properly Jeff. I assume you don't have an oscilloscope, so that will make checkout difficult.

The next best bet is to get an RF probe for your DVM (easy to make, see <http://www.njqrp.org/qhbextra/9/9c.html>) and measure about 1V at the board output (pin 6), or 0.15V at the output of the LPF (on C6), or about 0.2V on the DDS chip output (on R3). Also you should see about 1-2V coming from the oscillator can (measured on the little thru-hole above R1).

If any of these measurements don't prove good, you'll need to find someone with an oscilloscope and/or look very closely/carefully at the DDS chip leads to ensure that there are no shorts or opens (i.e., with the pin not touching the pads). Some guys reporting same problem as you have looked carefully at the DDS pins to be sure that the chip is not skewed and is properly aligned on all pads, then reheated all pins (one side at a time) while gently pressing down on the chip. Reflowing and/or adding solder to the pins also can make a connection that was not otherwise made.

Worse comes to worse, you could make a posting to see if you could mail your board to someone to have them check it out for you.

Good luck.

73, George N2APB

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:42:46 -0500
From: "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168676] Re: DDS Daughter Card
Message-ID: <005401c3f763\$9c977360\$6400a8c0@n2apb1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Glad you like Mike's (WA6OUW) work. He's a fanatically careful and skilled guy and prides himself in quality work. We have him assemble all the HC908

Daughtercards we have going out the door (<http://www.njqrp.org/hc908>) and are also entirely pleased.

If not already mentioned, if there is a project you have to be built, especially one that is small and/or complex, you might be pleasantly surprised on how low cost his work is on special projects. You can contact Mike at wa6ouw@aol.com as described on the DDS Daughtercard web page <http://www.njqrp.org/dds> .

No special connection to Mike, other than we contract with him to build our boards as described above.

73, George N2APB
<http://www.amqrp.org>

=====
I just received my daughter card from Kitbuilders. They soldered the DDS chip on the board for me and did a fantastic job as compared to the one I did. Mine doesn't work and theirs works great.

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:11:12 -0500
From: "Paul F. Ryan" <pfr2@Lehigh.EDU>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168677] Re: Elmer 160 & PIC-EL
Message-ID: <403588E0.60900008@lehigh.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jean Jibouleau wrote (in part):

>For now I wonder
>Do you use some kind of box for the PIC-EL ?
>Does not seem practical to use a box, unless the cover is open !!!
>
>73 de Jean (VE2GHI)
>
>
For a picture of a freshly harvested pic(k)el in the suggested black enclosure you can go to:

<http://www.w3oi.org/pictures/picel-in-black.JPG>

The next pic shows a wrapped pic(k)el, ready for transport, using the suggested containment mechanism - a Staples #64 rubber band:

<http://www.w3oi.org/pictures/picel-wrapped-to-go.JPG>

The final pic shows a comparison of the bone and black enclosures.

<http://www.w3oi.org/pictures/picel-boxes.JPG>

Yes, the bone enclosure has black end panels...

73,
Paul (NOKIA)

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:14:19 -0500
From: PMdc361@aol.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168678] Ashamed
Message-ID: <70861DED.61B7890A.0017E976@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

I am ashamed to be called a ham after the posts that I have seen over the past 48 hours. One person making an accusation without proof, then apologizing then the accused making threats after the apology. It seems to me that both parties are "legally" adults but are acting like first graders. How about you guys meet in the school yard and settle it by seeing who has the biggest. That way no lawyer fees, and God know we can always do with a little less litigation over stupid shiot. Grow up guys.....the list is going away and being children will not stop it. Thanks Jim for running a great list. I learned alot and met a few good people.

--
73 ditdit,

Dwayne YI9RVT/KE4RVT
Al Kut, Iraq
N33.29 E45.45
FPQRP #85
QRP-L #2201 (sk)
KX1 S/N 0337

Sometimes a little brain damage can help. (George Carlin)

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:22:11 -0500
From: "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168679] Re: Help with the DDS Daughtercard
Message-ID: <007701c3f769\$21ca2af0\$6400a8c0@n2apb1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just a quick clarification Jeff, after re-reading your note.

You said "I do not get a 100 MHz signal coming out" ... of course the DDS card only generates signals in the HF spectrum (1 - 30 MHz), and specifically with the test program it generates signals at/around 7.040 MHz. So you need to tune your receiver to 7.040, select the CW mode and the widest filter and tune around a little +/- 1 kHz or so.

The oscillator can on the board *does* generate 100 MHz, and you can measure this with an RF probe or oscilloscope at the little hole above R1 on the pcb. But this frequency just drives the DDS chip and will not be heard on your HF rig.

73, George N2APB

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:25:07 -0500 (EST)
From: <n2go@arrl.net>
To: "Paul F. Ryan" <pfr2@Lehigh.EDU>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168680] Re: Elmer 160 & PIC-EL
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0402192320190.2909-1000000@valhalla.v>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

I took a 3/4" stick on rubber foot and divided it into four "slices" the stuck them on the bottom four corners. It seems good enough for the Atmel programmers and others I have seen.

It works fine with the picEL.

It doesn't make sense to put it in a box. If it were intended to fit in a box the leds and switches should have come to the same height. With the headers, etc it would have been hard to do.

73,

Jim n2go

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:30:44 -0600
From: George Fremin III <geoiiii@kkn.net>
To: nkennedy@tcainternet.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168681] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <20040220043044.GA26661@kkn.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Thu, Feb 19, 2004 at 08:26:34PM -0600, Nick Kennedy wrote:
> I could not hear him at all in NW-central Arkansas.

Yes - the band was long for most of the hunt.

In the 30 mins before the hunt I was tuning around
and K5JHP had a huge signal but I never worked him
during the hunt. I am sure the band changed - as the MUF
drops off 40 meters can start acting just like 20 meters
and it gets hard to work the close in stuff.

> Is it possible that a contest level 40 meter beam is actually optimized
> for DX and less than optimum for regional QSOs? I think both foxes were
> running beams, but the "8" was far enough away for me to hear.

I do alot of domestic contests - I usually do pretty well in
these events. My 40m yagi is really not that high in terms
of wavelengths and from both on the air activity and computer
modeling of the terrain I am pretty sure it has plenty of high angle
energy. I have a good deal of one the air experiance with the station
25,000 to 30,000 QSOs a year for the last several years. I think I
am getting a pretty good handle on what is working or not working
from this location.

> What do you think? Any truth to the idea. Would a dipole at 40 feet
> have been better for the 0 to 800 mile range stuff?

There can be - but I do have a dipole at 60' and I used it

during the hunt - esp if the yagi was off the side to someone.

The station is also setup so that I can run either the yagi, the dipole or both - I used all of those combinations during the hunt.

And there were also times that one of the beverages was the only way I could hear some guys.

If there was some way I could have worked you guys I would have done it.

But given the conditions I think that only gain would have made things better either in the form of more antenna gain or more TX power on both ends.

--

George Fremin III - K5TR
geoiiii@kkn.net
<http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:32:20 -0700
From: "Chris Trask" <chistrask@earthlink.net>
To: "Lawrence Makoski" <Makos327@worldnet.att.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168682] Re: Calling Ones Boss
Message-ID: <05d201c3f76a\$88adee40\$22044bab@default>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>
> > Character assassination happens all the time.
> > Look around you at work. It serves to promote the incompetent.
> >
>
> Chris,
>
> You know my immediate supervisor where I work ? ;)
>

Yes. His name is: Mediocrity

Chris

,----- . High Performance Mixers and

0209	KW4JS	559	TN JOHN	5W
0210	K2ZN	559	NY AL	5W
0211	AG0T	559	ND TODD	5W
0211	W0NTA	559	CO DICK	5W
0212	K6IA	559	CA WARD	5W
0212	WA8BXN	559	OH MIKE	5W
0213	W0CH	599	MO DAVE	5W
0213	KB9YIG	579	IN TONY	2W
0214	K6VNX	559	CA ARLEN	5W
0214	N1FN	559	CO ET	5W
0216	KB2FEL	559	WV BOB	5W
0217	W0ANM	559	MN CHRIS	5W
0217	K9TJL	599	IL TJ	5W
0219	N5IB	559	LA JIM	5W
0219	KV2X	559	NY TOM	5W
0220	AF4LQ	559	KY MIKE	5W
0220	W9XU	559	WI LON	5W
0221	NV4V	559	KY PETE	5W
0222	KJ0C	559	MO JIM	5W
0222	K2Q0	559	NY MARK	5W
0223	WZ4L	589	TN WOODY	5W
0224	K5DI	599	NM KARL	5W
0225	N3ZPQ	559	OH FRANK	4W
0225	N3GJ	559	PA GEORGE	5W
0226	K3ESE	559	MD LLOYD	5W
0227	N0TK	559	CO DAN	5W
0227	VE6EX	559	AB DAN	5W
0228	VE6JAZ	559	AB ROB	5W
0229	VE5RC	559	SK BRUCE	5W
0234	K5SR	559	TX DALE	5W
0234	W2XS	579	NY JOHN	5W
0235	KI0II	559	CO RON	5W
0235	WB8YYY	559	MD CURT	5W
0236	K7TQ	559	ID RANDY	5W
0237	W9JOP	559	VA BOB	150mW
0238	KC1FB	559	CT JIM	5W
0239	K6XR	559	CA REG	5W
0241	VE3DJX	559	ON JIM	5W
0242	WA9TZE	599	WI JIM	5W
0244	NB7F	559	OR LEE	5W
0244	N4DD	559	TN DENNIS	5W
0246	KI0RB	559	CO VINCE	5W
0246	KG0PP	599	CO JIM	5W
0247	NK6A	559	CA DON	5W
0248	KG6CYN	559	CA TREV	5W
0251	N1TP	559	FL TOM	5W
0252	W0PWE	559	IA JERRY	5W
0252	K8KFJ	579	WV GARY	5W

0253	W9XT	559	WI GARY	5W
0254	K0UU	559	MN JEFF	5W
0256	KB9ZUV	599	IN GARY	5W
0257	W0UFO	559	MN MERT	5W
0257	KR0U	599	CO TIM	5W
0258	VE4WI	559	MB CRAIG	5W
0259	AB8DF	559	MI ED	5W
0300	W2LJ	559	NJ LARRY	4W
0300	NK9G	559	WI RICK	5W
0301	N9AW	559	WI JERRY	5W
0303	WB4X	559	NC BRENT	5W
0303	W6UR	579	CA LOU	5W
0305	W2XN	559	FL FRED	5W
0306	N0DSP	599	CO TOM	5W
0307	N7CQR	559	OR DAN	5W
0308	K8MIA	559	WV JIM	5W
0310	N2PG	559	NY PETE	3W
0312	AA50	559	LA VERN	5W
0313	W7ILW	559	AZ WALT	5W
0314	K0PC	559	MN PAT	5W
0315	NZ4E	559	VA MARC	5W
0317	WD4RPS	599	GA BOB	100W
0319	K0FRP	579	SC AL	5W
0319	AG4PJ	559	AL DAVE	5W
0320	WB8WTU	559	OH DENNIS	5W
0320	W1MT	559	VA MIKE	5W
0324	N04D	559	SC KEN	1W
0329	W6AZ	559	CA RON	5W
0331	K5E0A	559	LA WAYNE	5W
0332	K8DD	559	MI DUCK	5W
0333	W9HL	559	IL RANDY	5W
0334	KJ7HX	559	OR JERRY	5W
0342	VE3ELA	559	ON KEN	2W
0344	W4NJK	569	CA CHARLIE	5W
0350	W4EDE	579	FL LEE	160W
0352	W8YMO	559	OH HARRY	5W
0353	KE6RS	559	CA RON	5W
0353	N5ZE	559	TX LEW	5W
0400	W8RU	xxx	MI FOX	5W
0400	K5TR	xxx	TX FOX	5W

--

George Fremin III - K5TR
 geoiiii@kkn.net
<http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:33:51 -0500
From: Juan Ferrari <puntrad@usa.net>
To: <sigcom@juno.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168684] Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]
Message-ID: <285iBTehz4160S01.1077251631@uwdvg001.cms.usa.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Hi Gang.

I also received the mail saying that I have been rejected and asking my
callsign. I responded by some seven hours ago and til now I had no answer=
=2E

I also went again to the signing page and couldn't find where to put my
callsign. I only ask for the e-mail address and a password.
I will appreciate if someone may tell me what should I do.

72

Juan - KG4FSN

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:40:04 -0500
From: "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168685] AmQRP thanks Jim for many good years of QRP-L
Message-ID: <008901c3f76b\$9d233f00\$6400a8c0@n2apb1>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

So much has been stated on the topic but we, the leadership of AmQRP, simply
wish to thank Jim Eshleman for his years of tireless duty to all of us in
providing a quality service that has become a landmark in QRP history.
Without his concerted efforts and resources, the QRP community could not
have grown in so many dimensions as demonstrated by what we have today.

The American QRP Club fully endorses and encourages list members to carry on
with QRP-related discussion on the already-present QRP-L group on QTH.net. A
common watering hole that is not sponsored by or affiliated with any one
club has the best chance at continuing to enable non-biased communication in

an open environment.

The AmQRP Club will use QRP-L on QTH.net to post **all** its communications concerning kits, operating events, magazine, special projects, contests, and the many QRP forums conducted and sponsored by AmQRP throughout the year

Thank you Jim - your tireless efforts will be fondly recalled always as our hobby continues to grow and evolve in years to come. This week the AmQRP formally nominates you for the ARCI QRP Hall of Fame.

72/73,

The AmQRP Leadership Team:

George Heron, N2APB n2apb@amqrp.org

Doug Hendricks, KI6DS ki6ds@amqrp.org

Jim Cates, WA6GER wa6ger@amqrp.org

Joe Everhart, N2CX n2cx@amqrp.org

Paul Maciel, AK1P ak1p@amqrp.org

at <http://www.amqrp.org>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:40:07 -0500

From: Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>

To: Jason Hsu <reply@jasonhsu.com>,

Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>

Subject: [168686] Re: Do I need a new soldering iron?

Message-ID: <40358FA7.668C6CAE@earthlink.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

There are several ways to pet a cat.

You do need to learn to solder properly. Skill and experience will let you do good work with some pretty poor equipment.

I taught myself to solder at about age 12 (33 years ago) with a simple 40 watt soldering iron with a solid copper tip. That was actually a pretty good choice for that time as the parts were bigger and I could file the tip back to shape and retin even after much abuse.

I learned to prepare the joint by getting everything **clean** first, then assembling mechanically and finally putting tip to lug and wire, then adding

flux core solder to the point where the iron was touching both the wire and the lug.

Later I learned to do the same thing on printed circuit boards. At this time

I added a 25 watt iron to make working on PC boards easier.

I got good at knowing just how much to wipe the tip on my damp sponge to both clean the tip *and* get just the tip temperature I wanted. Now I know

that the temp is pretty close to 700 degrees F but back then I just knew that too cold and I couldn't get the solder to flow, too hot and pads would lift or components would die.

Now I use a Weller WTCPT and the temp is right, and my sponge is just for cleaning.

The three most important factors to soldering;

CLEAN parts

Proper temperature

Clean parts

It really helps to have someone show you when you are learning.

It also helps to practice a bunch on things that are not important.

michael N6CHV

Jason Hsu wrote:

>

> Do I need a new soldering iron? I don't have enough soldering experience to
> know if I'm using a good one or a bad one. I'm not sure if my soldering
> skills or my soldering iron is at fault.

>

> The soldering iron I've been using is the Radio Shack 15W/30W soldering
> iron. Much of the soldering iron has gunk on it, including the part that
> connects to the soldering iron tip. I have begun trying to solder by
> heating the wire instead of heating the solder directly, and it often takes
> too long to melt the solder, more than the few seconds I think it's supposed
> to take. (This is very noticeable when the lead touches a neighboring area
> of solder. I think the other area of solder acts as a heat sink.)

>

> On eham.net, the Radio Shack soldering irons are heavily panned:
> <http://www.eham.net/reviews/detail/318>

>

> Note that the average rating is only 2.1 out of 5. 3 of the 8 ratings are
> 0, and 1 of the 8 ratings is 1. Even the more positive reviewers say it's
> not as good as other brands like Weller.

>

> What do you all think? Should I replace my Radio Shack soldering iron with
> a Weller? Or can my soldering problems be attributed to a lack of skill on

> my part?
>
> Jason Hsu, AG4DG
> personal AAAATTTT jasonhsu.com

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:41:44 -0700
From: "Paul Ermisch" <paul@ermisch.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168687] RE: Emergency Field Kit
Message-ID: <NHBBIMAFPBCKANGJGAPDCEGCDDAA.paul@ermisch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Interesting. The 'standard' survival/backpacking regime taught here in Colorado requires a "space blanket" but these are the kind with foil on one side and heavy plastic on the other. They include grommets for tie-downs. Many folks use a pair of them to make a lightweight shelter. A "Space blanket" consisting only of thin, metalized plastic good only for single use are not recommended.

Are we talking about radio emergencies or "10 essentials" for wilderness trekking? For the latter, I include a butane-powered soldering iron and solder.

Paul NN0C

> -----Original Message-----
> From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of
> Howard Oakley
> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:01 PM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: Re: Emergency Field Kit
>
>
> On 19/2/04 22:32, ARDUJENSKI@aol.com wrote:
>
> > Before heading off on the trail (short or long trip) I found
> the following
> > invaluable for an emergency (besides the Snickers Bars):
> [snip]
> > ---Space blanket
>
> Alan,
>

> That's an excellent list bar that one item. I add all sorts of other bits
> and pieces, including a superb coax connector adaptor set from
> Bird (you can
> find these at rallies sometimes), and a suite of Leatherman and Swiss Army
> multi-tools.
>
> But I must point out that a space blanket is a very poor substitute for a
> nice heavy-gauge plastic 'bivvi' bag (agricultural fertiliser
> bags suitably
> cleaned are free and ideal). 'Space blankets' try to work by a flawed
> theory, that reducing radiant heat loss reduces body heat loss. In space,
> this is true, but on earth wind and water (rain) are much more
> potent - and
> space blankets are pretty flimsy and useless at combating either. Several
> scientific studies of different types of survival bag have been performed
> (we did our own a few years ago), and all to date have shown a plain heavy
> plastic bag, to reduce convective heat loss and eliminate evaporative heat
> loss, is much better.
>
> 72,
> Howard.
>
> Dr Howard Oakley
> Isle of Wight, UK
> M1BWR (IO90JO, EU-120)
> Active from 80 m to 23 cm
> QRP ARCI 11583; UKSMG 1718; ARRL; RSGB; RNARS
>
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:43:57 -0500
From: "Paul Womble" <pwomble@earthlink.net>
To: "'QRP-L'" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168688] FW: [QRP-L] [Fwd: Welcome to one and all!]
Message-ID: <00fb01c3f76c\$274b70d0\$6401a8c0@house>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

FYI:

Paul K4FB

-----Original Message-----

From: qrp-l-admin@mailman.qth.net [mailto:qrp-l-admin@mailman.qth.net]
On Behalf Of Jim Lowman
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 11:34 PM
To: qrp-l@mailman.qth.net
Subject: [QRP-L] [Fwd: Welcome to one and all!]

Well, it looks like this list is the new home for QRP-L!
I'd like to welcome all of the former members of QRP-L@lehigh.edu.

I have honored many of the subscription requests already. If I asked for more info, please furnish it and I'll add you as soon as possible. Unfortunately, the Mailman software doesn't provide a place for the type of confirmation that I requested. This confirmation is in the interest of keeping possible spammers out. No offense to anyone is intended.

I have 799 messages in my inbox right now, so it may take me a while to honor everyone's subscription request. I will do so in the order received. Please bear with me...I don't know what the list membership was on the former list, but my goal is to get everyone on here before Jim shuts down the "old" QRP-L list.

Many thanks to you for a fine home for many years, Jim, if you're listening.

I'm the sort of guy who doesn't like rules, so I'm not going to make any at this time. However, I do ask that each of you be courteous to the others on the list...'nuff said.

Again, welcome...it's an honor!

72/73 de Jim - AD6CW
Listadmin

QRP-L mailing list
QRP-L@mailman.qth.net <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 21:50:14 -0700
From: Tim Groat <tcgroat@earthlink.net>

To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168689] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <5.1.1.6.2.20040219212446.00a3f9b0@mail.earthlink.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I agree completely! If qrp-l moves to yahoo groups, I won't follow. If anyone takes it upon himself to transfer qrp-l to yahoo, please do NOT provide my address to them.

otoh, I subscribe to two lists on qth.net and have been very satisfied with them. While nobody is perfect, qth.net does an outstanding job keeping the lists clean, and are serious about their anti-spam policies.

Finally, thanks to Jim for having the perseverance to keep this list running as long as it has. I don't know how or why you put up with all of us, but I appreciate that you did for so long!

72,
--Tim (KROU)

>Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>:
>To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
>Subject: [168413] RE:
>Message-ID: <6.0.3.0.2.20040218213616.03b57150@pop.earthlink.net>
>Mime-Version: 1.0
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed
>
>Yahoo is not an alternative for me. Their lists suck. Their advertising
>sucks. Their selling your address sucks. Their... getting the picture?

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:59:57 -0500
From: "KU4YP" <ku4yp@verizon.net>
To: <tcgroat@earthlink.net>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168690] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <003101c3f76e\$65df44a0\$56ec0a04@dslverizon.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

ditto. this is the last round for me.

73 mike ku4yp

----- Original Message -----

From: "Tim Groat" <tcgroat@earthlink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 11:50 PM
Subject: Re: The future of QRP-L

> I agree completely! If qrp-l moves to yahoo groups, I won't follow. If
> anyone takes it upon himself to transfer qrp-l to yahoo, please do NOT
> provide my address to them.
>
> otoh, I subscribe to two lists on qth.net and have been very satisfied
with
> them. While nobody is perfect, qth.net does an outstanding job keeping the
> lists clean, and are serious about their anti-spam policies.
>
> Finally, thanks to Jim for having the perseverance to keep this list
> running as long as it has. I don't know how or why you put up with all of
> us, but I appreciate that you did for so long!
>
> 72,
> --Tim (KR0U)
>
> >Ed Tanton <n4xy@earthlink.net>:
> >To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
> >Subject: [168413] RE:
> >Message-ID: <6.0.3.0.2.20040218213616.03b57150@pop.earthlink.net>
> >Mime-Version: 1.0
> >Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed
> >
> >Yahoo is not an alternative for me. Their lists suck. Their advertising
> >sucks. Their selling your address sucks. Their... getting the picture?
>
>
>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:00:52 -0600
From: "rattray" <rattray@accesscomm.ca>
To: <puntrad@usa.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168691] RE: [Re: future of qrp-l]
Message-ID: <000201c3f76e\$83acf7c0\$7900a8c0@Bonnie>
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just wait Juan - you have done everything right - 72 - Bruce
ve5rc/ve5qrp

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf
Of Juan Ferrari
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 10:34 PM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]

Hi Gang.

I also received the mail saying that I have been rejected and asking my
callsign. I responded by some seven hours ago and til now I had no
answer.

I also went again to the signing page and couldn't find where to put my
callsign. I only ask for the e-mail address and a password.

I will appreciate if someone may tell me what should I do.

72

Juan - KG4FSN

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 00:23:08 -0500 (EST)
From: <w9ya@arrl.net>
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168692] Re: qrp-l and qsl.net
Message-ID: <3332.192.168.1.117.1077254588.squirrel@gateway>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

>

> I just got 25 messages from the New qrp-l list. This list
> appears to be where qrp-l will be for the near future. To join this list
> point your browser to:

>

> <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

>
> and give it your email address you want the list email to go to and a
> simple password like your first name and in a few hours, Jim Lowman will
> get around to letting you in. He is super busy now because the whole
> list is rushing over to sign in...:-)
>
> In my opinion this is the best of many possible solutions. And I
> had nothing to do with it...hi

Karl;

Since you were originally for the yahoo list if I recall correctly, and since you are now in agreement that the qth.net is a "..best..." choice, I wanted to say that I applaud you for being open minded and willing to take a considered look. Good for you !!

If I did NOT recall correctly, well then "never mind" (hi hi)

Vy 72;

Bob
w9ya

>
> --
>
> - Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 00:40:58 EST
From: JClinton46@aol.com
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168693] re: DSL noise
Message-ID: <42.471d6e2d.2d66f7ea@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Yesterday I asked for "... anecdotal information from those who have experienced (or not) HF interference between the phone/DSL lines, modem and nearby antennas."

I received 8 replies with none indicating any interference problems. Most installations were not directly comparable to mine but this is still good news. Several mentioned interference from wall warts, and monitors but those are not limited to DSL. Many thanks to all who replied. This is a fine example of what is great about this list, knowledgeable hams willing to share.

The following can be considered off topic.

I can only echo the many thanks already expressed for the fine job and extreme patience exhibited by Jim in hosting and moderating this list. THANK YOU Jim

As someone has already pointed out, the last day or two has also seen accusations, innuendoes, threats and "authority" posts by those who probably aren't. It is my hope that these immature behaviors get left behind in the transition to whichever new list is chosen.

However if the poor behavior follows, I am ready. Just got a new computer after wearing out the delete key on the old one. <grin>

73,
Clint Poss
KE4FDT

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 23:54:57 -0600
From: Rob Matherly <jimrob@jetnetinc.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168694] Re: [Re: future of qrp-1]
Message-ID: <4035A131.8010701@jetnetinc.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Juan Ferrari wrote:

>Hi Gang.
>I also received the mail saying that I have been rejected and asking my
>callsign. I responded by some seven hours ago and til now I had no answer.
>I also went again to the signing page and couldn't find where to put my
>callsign. I only ask for the e-mail address and a password.
>I will appreciate if someone may tell me what should I do.
>

Just reply to the e-mail with your callsign and name. The initial

message you get is system-generated, and your reply requires human follow-up. It's not uncommon for there to be almost a day wait for confirmation (at least with my experiences).

--

72/73/oo - Rob, W0JRM - jimrob@jetnetinc.net - ARRL MEMBER
FPQrp -330; QRPP-I #19; WATPK #1; SOC #442; ARS #1143
Are you a gun nut? http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gun_nut_hams
<http://www.robmatherly.com> <--- Not ham related!

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:07:59 -0800
From: John Rollins <kd7bcy@teleport.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168695] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <a06020406bc5b54596ffb@[192.168.0.2]>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii" ; format="flowed"

Just a thought that came up, are the list archives going to remain in their current place? I didn't see any mention of that as I waded through all the recent messages.
wget is your friend...(and a bit of a wait with the compressed FTP form taking up over 200MB)

--

John Rollins | KD7BCY | <http://www.kd7bcy.com>
DALnet #Apollo_Domain | Ham-Mac mailing list <http://mailman.qth.net>

Date: Thu, 19 Feb 2004 22:59:12 -0800
From: "Bill Jones" <kd7s@psnw.com>
To: "Jason Hsu, AG4DG" <reply@jasonhsu.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168696] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <000901c3f77f\$0cb5bc90\$3ac13542@RadioRoom>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have had the WLC100 for several years and have found it to be a wonderful iron. I've built not only thru-hole projects but SMT as well. It's all the iron I'll ever need.

=====

Bill Jones KD7S <><
<http://www.psnw.com/~kd7s>
Sanger, California

=====

----- Original Message -----

From: "Jason Hsu, AG4DG" <jhs001@heronetwork.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 7:18 PM
Subject: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron

> The Weller WLC100 soldering station is on sale for \$42.99 (excluding the
\$13
> rebate, which would effectively bring the price down to around \$30). This
> one has a variable 5-40W power control.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 01:13:01 -0600
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
To: <kd7bcy@teleport.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168697] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <057201c3f781\$03a67bb0\$0402a8c0@PS>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jim. E. said that they would.

73, George W5YR
w5yr@att.net
<http://www.w5yr.com>

----- Original Message -----

From: "John Rollins" <kd7bcy@teleport.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 12:07 AM
Subject: Re: The future of QRP-L

> Just a thought that came up, are the list archives going to remain in
> their current place? I didn't see any mention of that as I waded
> through all the recent messages.
> wget is your friend...(and a bit of a wait with the compressed FTP
> form taking up over 200MB)
> --
>
>
> -----
> John Rollins | KD7BCY | <http://www.kd7bcy.com>
> DALnet #Apollo_Domain | Ham-Mac mailing list <http://mailman.qth.net>
> -----

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 1:45:29 -0600
From: "W.D. (Doc) Lindsey" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
To: "Paul Ermisch" <paul@ermisch.com>,
"qrp-l reflector" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Cc: "doc k0evz earthlink" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
Subject: [168698] RE: Emergency Field Kit
Message-ID: <412004252074529170@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Paul and Gang:

I for one would surely like to learn a great deal more about this. Still
have a great deal to learn, and have more than once gotten somewhere
without something--and with no Radio Shack in sight [g]. Will gladly
receive everyone's suggestions along these lines. Thanks.

73,
--Doc/K0EVZ

> [Original Message]
> From: Paul Ermisch <paul@ermisch.com>
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
> Date: 2/19/2004 10:41:44 PM
> Subject: RE: Emergency Field Kit
>
> Interesting. The 'standard' survival/backpacking regime taught here in
> Colorado requires a "space blanket" but these are the kind with foil on
one
> side and heavy plastic on the other. They include grommets for tie-downs.
> Many folks use a pair of them to make a lightweight shelter. A "Space
> blanket" consisting only of thin, metalized plastic good only for single
use

> are not recommended.
>
> Are we talking about radio emergencies or "10 essentials" for wilderness
> trekking? For the latter, I include a butane-powered soldering iron and
> solder.
>
> Paul NNOC
>
> > -----Original Message-----
> > From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of
> > Howard Oakley
> > Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:01 PM
> > To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> > Subject: Re: Emergency Field Kit
> >
> >
> > On 19/2/04 22:32, ARDUJENSKI@aol.com wrote:
> >
> > > Before heading off on the trail (short or long trip) I found
> > the following
> > > invaluable for an emergency (besides the Snickers Bars):
> > [snip]
> > > ---Space blanket
> >
> > Alan,
> >
> > That's an excellent list bar that one item. I add all sorts of other
bits
> > and pieces, including a superb coax connector adaptor set from
> > Bird (you can
> > find these at rallies sometimes), and a suite of Leatherman and Swiss
Army
> > multi-tools.
> >
> > But I must point out that a space blanket is a very poor substitute for
a
> > nice heavy-gauge plastic 'bivvi' bag (agricultural fertiliser
> > bags suitably
> > cleaned are free and ideal). 'Space blankets' try to work by a flawed
> > theory, that reducing radiant heat loss reduces body heat loss. In
space,
> > this is true, but on earth wind and water (rain) are much more
> > potent - and
> > space blankets are pretty flimsy and useless at combating either.
Several
> > scientific studies of different types of survival bag have been
performed
> > (we did our own a few years ago), and all to date have shown a plain

heavy
> > plastic bag, to reduce convective heat loss and eliminate evaporative
heat
> > loss, is much better.
> >
> > 72,
> > Howard.
> >
> > Dr Howard Oakley
> > Isle of Wight, UK
> > M1BWR (I090J0, EU-120)
> > Active from 80 m to 23 cm
> > QRP ARCI 11583; UKSMG 1718; ARRL; RSGB; RNARS
> >
> >

--- W.D. (Doc) Lindsey
--- dock0evz@earthlink.net
--- EarthLink: The #1 provider of the Real Internet.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 1:53:47 -0600
From: "W.D. (Doc) Lindsey" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
To: "Brad Hedges" <bhedges@nc.rr.com>,
"qrp-l reflector" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Cc: "doc k0evz earthlink" <dock0evz@earthlink.net>
Subject: [168699] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <412004252075347226@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Brad and Gang:

You are absolutely right = have a variety of antennas, if possible. Of course, for some it is simply not possible. But I would say you could simply throw up an end-fed LW, to give an additional antenna to existing yagis, dipoles, zepps, verticals, etc.

Here in ABQ my 40 Metre choices presently are a shorty G5RV inverted vee up 27', a homebrew wire vertical with 4 above ground radials, and an IAC Double Bazooka (also up as an inverted vee) up 36'. It has often been surprising which antenna produces the best results. Just a few thoughts.

GL es 73,
--Doc/K0EVZ

> [Original Message]
> From: Brad Hedges <bhedges@nc.rr.com>
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
> Date: 2/19/2004 8:58:46 PM
> Subject: Re: working George the Fox ...
>
> It is absolutely possible, even probable. I have just begun to get
> interested in contesting and have subscribed to some of the various lists
> devoted to that pursuit. Only a day or two ago I was reading a bit about
> this very thing. There is (I guess) a lot of info available on take-off
> angles, based on antenna type and height above ground, along with a
million
> and one variables based on the ground within several miles of the
> station/antenna.
>
> So, in a word, yes - different distances require different antennas, to be
> most effective.
>
> Brad
> K0BHC
>
>
>
> > I could not hear him at all in NW-central Arkansas.
> >
> > Is it possible that a contest level 40 meter beam is actually optimized
> > for DX and less than optimum for regional QSOs? I think both foxes were
> > running beams, but the "8" was far enough away for me to hear.

--- W.D. (Doc) Lindsey
--- dock0evz@earthlink.net
--- EarthLink: The #1 provider of the Real Internet.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 02:35:47 -0700
From: "Paul Ermisch" <paul@ermisch.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168700] RE: Emergency Field Kit

Message-ID: <NHBBIMAFPBCKANGJGAPDIEGHDDAA.paul@ermisch.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ach. The soldering iron is for the 'former' or radio emergency rather than the '10 essentials' list.

A discussion of '10 essentials' can be found at:

<<http://www.cmc.org/cmc/tnt/handbook/06participatingintrips.htm#tenessentials>>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of
> W.D. (Doc) Lindsey
> Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 12:45 AM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: RE: Emergency Field Kit

>

>

> Paul and Gang:

>

> I for one would surely like to learn a great deal more about this. Still
> have a great deal to learn, and have more than once gotten somewhere
> without something--and with no Radio Shack in sight [g]. Will gladly
> receive everyone's suggestions along these lines. Thanks.

>

> 73,

> --Doc/K0EVZ

>

> > [Original Message]

> > From: Paul Ermisch <paul@ermisch.com>

> > To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

> > Date: 2/19/2004 10:41:44 PM

> > Subject: RE: Emergency Field Kit

> >

> > Interesting. The 'standard' survival/backpacking regime taught here in
> > Colorado requires a "space blanket" but these are the kind with foil on
> > one

> > side and heavy plastic on the other. They include grommets for
> > tie-downs.

> > Many folks use a pair of them to make a lightweight shelter. A "Space
> > blanket" consisting only of thin, metalized plastic good only for single
> > use

> > are not recommended.

> >

> > Are we talking about radio emergencies or "10 essentials" for wilderness
> > trekking? For the latter, I include a butane-powered soldering iron and
> > solder.
> >
> > Paul NNOC
> >
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
> [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of
> > > Howard Oakley
> > > Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:01 PM
> > > To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> > > Subject: Re: Emergency Field Kit
> > >
> > >
> > > On 19/2/04 22:32, ARDUJENSKI@aol.com wrote:
> > >
> > > > Before heading off on the trail (short or long trip) I found
> > > the following
> > > > invaluable for an emergency (besides the Snickers Bars):
> > > [snip]
> > > > ---Space blanket
> > >
> > > Alan,
> > >
> > > That's an excellent list bar that one item. I add all sorts of other
> bits
> > > and pieces, including a superb coax connector adaptor set from
> > > Bird (you can
> > > find these at rallies sometimes), and a suite of Leatherman and Swiss
> Army
> > > multi-tools.
> > >
> > > But I must point out that a space blanket is a very poor
> substitute for
> a
> > > nice heavy-gauge plastic 'bivvi' bag (agricultural fertiliser
> > > bags suitably
> > > cleaned are free and ideal). 'Space blankets' try to work by a flawed
> > > theory, that reducing radiant heat loss reduces body heat loss. In
> space,
> > > this is true, but on earth wind and water (rain) are much more
> > > potent - and
> > > space blankets are pretty flimsy and useless at combating either.
> Several
> > > scientific studies of different types of survival bag have been
> performed
> > > (we did our own a few years ago), and all to date have shown a plain

> heavy
> > > plastic bag, to reduce convective heat loss and eliminate evaporative
> heat
> > > loss, is much better.
> > >
> > > 72,
> > > Howard.
> > >
> > > Dr Howard Oakley
> > > Isle of Wight, UK
> > > M1BWR (I090J0, EU-120)
> > > Active from 80 m to 23 cm
> > > QRP ARCI 11583; UKSMG 1718; ARRL; RSGB; RNARS
> > >
> > >
>
>
>
> --- W.D. (Doc) Lindsey
> --- dock0evz@earthlink.net
> --- EarthLink: The #1 provider of the Real Internet.
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:52:58 +0100
From: Ingo Meyer DK3RED <dk3red@t-online.de>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168701] The King is dead - long lives the King !
Message-ID: <5.2.1.1.1.20040220102924.00a00ec0@pop.btx.dtag.de>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

This is only an info for all, who want to know the right direction after receiving the bundle of e-mails in the last days.

Jim Eshleman N3VXI will pull the plug on QRP-L at Lehigh.EDU on February 29. So we will need to find a new home. And I think we found it at the great QTH.NET server. The members are waked up and running to the new location. To join the new QRP-L list point your browser to

<http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

and sign in with your e-mail address and a password of your choise. And than: Please wait! Jim Lowman AD6CW as new Listadmin will add you as soon

as possible. At the time it's a rush hour in his mailbox, but this method is the best way to keeping possible spammers out. After (!) you receive a "welcome" mail you can post to the new place with

qrp-l@mailman.qth.net

and enjoy the whole gang there. Jim Lowmans goal is to get everyone on the new QRP-L before Jim Eshleman shuts down the old QRP-L list on February 29. Come join us!

72/73 de Ingo, DK3RED Don't forget: the fun is the power!

dk3red@t-online.de <http://www.t-online.de/~dk3red>
DL-QRP-AG #824 <http://www.dl-qrp-ag.de>
QRP ARCI #11295 <http://www.qrparci.org>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 07:10:47 -0500 (Eastern Standard Time)
From: "Pastor-kc1di" <elbc2@gwi.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>,
<puntrad@usa.net>
Subject: [168702] Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]
Message-ID: <4035F947.000012.02224@DOR>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: Text/Plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Give them some time , There are a lot of request for subscription right about now.

It took about 14 hours to get my approval back.

73 Dave

-----Original Message-----

From: puntrad@usa.net
Date: 02/19/04 23:34:40
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Re: [Re: future of qrp-l]

Hi Gang.

I also received the mail saying that I have been rejected and asking my callsign. I responded by some seven hours ago and til now I had no answer. I also went again to the signing page and couldn't find where to put my

callsign. I only ask for the e-mail address and a password.
I will appreciate if someone may tell me what should I do.

72

Juan - KG4FSN

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 07:35:47 -0500
From: Jason Buchanan <jsb@digistar.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168703] QRP-CW list on the air
Message-ID: <4035FF23.8060107@Digistar.COM>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This could be considered a shameless plug while QRP-L is soon to disappear and reinvent itself elsewhere... but a quieter, more gentle list promoting the activities and discussion of QRP CW work is online at <http://qrq.us/mailman/listinfo/qrp-cw> - I invite all FISTS members to come and join.

I'm not trying to knock the wind out of the sails of QRP-L - QRP-L is a great place to find information on building rigs and acquiring parts for kits and such, but QRP-CW is specifically for CW advocacy with QRP in mind...

vy 73 Jason N1SU

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 07:59:30 -0500
From: ed miller <millered@magnet.fsu.edu>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168704] Fw: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag
Message-ID: <5.1.1.5.2.20040220075720.045e7758@mail.magnet.fsu.edu>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"; format=flowed

I got mine this week. I know that us in Florida can't count, but I was beginning to think that we didn't count. I was wrong, again.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 07:55:10 -0500
From: "NA4FM \ (Buck\)" <na4fm-list@towncorp.net>
To: "'Rob Matherly'" <jimrob@jetnetinc.net>
Cc: "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168705] RE: The Truth about Yahoo
Message-ID: <000801c3f7b0\$caa60ad0\$6401a8c0@deer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have been careful to do that each and every time. All my addresses have somehow "leaked out" to unauthorized users and now I have over 100 messages per day from these addresses mostly trying to convince me that my manly appendage is too small or needs help doing its job.

No thanks.

The only way to do it is to setup a web email and then read yahoo from the website which I don't like doing.

Buck

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rob Matherly [mailto:jimrob@jetnetinc.net]
> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 3:17 PM
> To: na4fm-list@towncorp.net
> Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: Re: The Truth about Yahoo
>
>
> NA4FM (Buck) wrote:
>
> >Every email address I have ever used on Yahoo is massively
> spammed. It
> >doesn't matter what setting I use, I always get spam from Yahoo.
> >Therefore, I avoid Yahoo with a passion. Sorry, the
> problems are not
> >un-founded.
> >
> >
>
> All you have to do is go into your preferences and uncheck all
> the boxes
> that 'permit them' from sending you spam. I'm subscribed to roughly

> twenty-five Yahoogroups and don't get a single ad from them.
>
> --
> 72/73/oo - Rob, W0JRM - jimrob@jetnetinc.net - ARRL MEMBER
> FPQrp -330; QRPp-I #19; WATPK #1; SOC #442; ARS #1143 Are you
> a gun nut? http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gun_nut_hams
> <http://www.robmatherly.com> <--- Not ham related!
>
>
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 07:56:01 -0500
From: "NA4FM \ (Buck\)" <na4fm-list@towncorp.net>
To: <jimrob@jetnetinc.net>,
 "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168706] RE: The Truth about Yahoo
Message-ID: <000901c3f7b0\$e8e348a0\$6401a8c0@deer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Wrong! They all manage to get "leaked" out to unauthorized users.

Buck

> -----Original Message-----
> From: Rob Matherly [mailto:jimrob@jetnetinc.net]
> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 3:17 PM
> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
> Subject: Re: The Truth about Yahoo
>
>
> NA4FM (Buck) wrote:
>
> >Every email address I have ever used on Yahoo is massively
> spammed. It
> >doesn't matter what setting I use, I always get spam from Yahoo.
> >Therefore, I avoid Yahoo with a passion. Sorry, the
> problems are not
> >un-founded.
> >

> >
>
> All you have to do is go into your preferences and uncheck all
> the boxes
> that 'permit them' from sending you spam. I'm subscribed to roughly
> twenty-five Yahoo groups and don't get a single ad from them.
>
> --
> 72/73/oo - Rob, W0JRM - jimrob@jetnetinc.net - ARRL MEMBER
> FPQrp -330; QRPp-I #19; WATPK #1; SOC #442; ARS #1143 Are you
> a gun nut? http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gun_nut_hams
> <http://www.robmatherly.com> <--- Not ham related!
>
>
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:11:53 -0500
From: tjennin2@rochester.rr.com
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168707] Thanks to Jim, N3VXI
Message-ID: <4035C149.28833.F721A1F@localhost>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT
Content-description: Mail message body

I wish to put in my thanks to Jim, N3VXI, for doing a great
job running the QRP-L list.

73

Tom kv2x

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:17:24 -0500
From: "NA4FM \ (Buck\)" <na4fm-list@towncorp.net>
To: "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168708] Your courtesy is appreciated.
Message-ID: <000a01c3f7b3\$e79675f0\$6401a8c0@deer>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I am a member of both this list and the QTH list. It is understandable that the threads about what has happened to this list be discussed on this list, but it has no place being duplicated or discussed on the QTH list too.

Also, it takes time to get approved to the QTH list. Please, no more test messages or follow-ups on test messages. This is a much higher volume list than QTH has been when it is just discussing normal business and it takes time to get approved, up to 24 hours or so.

Please, rather than test messages or discussion about this list, have consideration for others already there and start discussions on-topic for QRP. I know this list can generate MANY legitimate topics for the new list.

Sincerely,

Buck

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 05:37:14 -0800 (PST)
From: Bob KB2FEL <kb2fel@yahoo.com>
To: ARDUJENSKI@aol.com,
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168709] Re: Emergency Field Kit
Message-ID: <20040220133714.2397.qmail@web60505.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Hi Alen,

Most of the items listed are radio related. IMHO, The first items a person heading out to the woods should think of are personal safety items.

A good place to visit before heading out to the trail is the "ARS Index for Articles on Wilderness Techniques"
<http://69.5.23.180/ars/pages/cumulative_index/wilderness.html>

72

Bob

KB2FEL/8

--- ARDUJENSKI@aol.com wrote:
> Before heading off on the trail (short or long trip)
> I found the following
> invaluable for an emergency (besides the Snickers
> Bars):
>
> ---A dozen or so WIRE NUTS of various sizes. You
> attach any wires with these
> wire nuts (Thanks to KU7Y) including coax.
>
> ---Dental Floss. You can get a 100 yards or more of
> strong stuff in a small
> package (Ain't that the tooth--)
>
> ---Couple of fishing sinkers to help get those lines
> up in the trees
>
> ---Chem Lites: I get these from the marine serving
> places (expired date wise
> ones for free) and use them for emergency lights at
> night.
>
> ---Small spool of enameled wire (say 50 yards of
> #30)
>
> ---Elastic tubing to make a sling shot out of a
> branch
>
> ---Space blanket
>
> ---EPS-1 DC-DC (DC converter kit that takes an input
> of anywhere from 2 to 16
> volts DC and brings it to 12 volts)
>
> What do some of you take along QRP hikes for
> emergencies?
>
> Alan KB7MBI

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.
<http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:03:41 -0600

From: "Nick Kennedy" <wa5bdu@tcainternet.com>
To: <geoiiii@kkn.net>,
 "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168710] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <003101c3f7ba\$583bfd10\$0400000a@wa5bdu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Boy, I hope it didn't sound like my musing over the effects of the big 40 meter beam on close in stations implied any criticism of the operator. Far from it. I have the highest respect for the skill of big gun testers and admiration for their wonderful stations.

My approach to fox chasing thus far has been pretty relaxed. I'll give it 10 or 15 minutes or so and if the fox isn't very (or at all) readable, I'll go do something else. Then maybe return in an hour or so for another shot. So I clearly have no basis for whining about missing the fox and didn't intend to do so. Maybe if I'd hung in there I would have caught George on his dipole.

So it was meant to be an academic question and an interesting anecdote only.

Hope the skip pulls in some for the Pesky Texan chase.

72--Nick, WA5BDU

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of George Fremin III

On Thu, Feb 19, 2004 at 08:26:34PM -0600, Nick Kennedy wrote:

> I could not hear him at all in NW-central Arkansas.

Yes - the band was long for most of the hunt.

I do alot of domestic contests - I usually do pretty well in these events. My 40m yagi is really not that high in terms of wavelengths and from both on the air activity and computer modeling of the terrain I am pretty sure it has plenty of high angle energy. I have a good deal of one the air experiance with the station 25,000 to 30,000 QSOs a year for the last several years. I think I am getting a pretty good handle on what is working or not working from

this location.

----I should have realized that--NRK

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:23:44 -0600
From: George Fremin III <geoiiii@kkn.net>
To: nkennedy@tcainternet.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168711] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <20040220142344.GA864@kkn.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Fri, Feb 20, 2004 at 08:03:41AM -0600, Nick Kennedy wrote:

> Boy, I hope it didn't sound like my musing over the effects of the big
> 40 meter beam on close in stations implied any criticism of the
> operator.

You did not - I did not take it that way.

> My approach to fox chasing thus far has been pretty relaxed. I'll give
> it 10 or 15 minutes or so and if the fox isn't very (or at all)
> readable, I'll go do something else. Then maybe return in an hour or so
> for another shot. So I clearly have no basis for whining about missing
> the fox and didn't intend to do so. Maybe if I'd hung in there I would
> have caught George on his dipole.

Yes, camping out on the fox and waiting is really the answer
whne conditions are marginal as you can never tell when or
if that little peak will allow you to make the contact.

I suspect that is why the guys at the top of the hound
list are at the top of the hound list.

What I was trying to say is that I do not think it was a matter
of catching my on the dipole or on the yagi. Several times in
the hunt with weak close in stations when I did switch between
the two antennas it was a toss up as to which one had
the best signal. I think that the overriding issue
is the lack of propagation between here and stations within
400 miles or so.

One interesting contact was when I worked N5ZE.

Lew is east north east of me and he is quite close at about 20-30 miles. When he called in I has the beam pointed out west. So I switched to the dipole to see if I could bring him up. That did not work - he disappeared. I know this small loaded 40m yagi does not have much F/B but it was a bit suprising that the dipole was not better for him. It would have been interesting to turn the yagi and see if I could bring him up.

--

George Fremin III - K5TR
geoiii@kkn.net
<http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:44:03 -0500
From: "kennymac" <killmodell@earthlink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168712] Elmer 160 & PIC-EL serial cable
Message-ID: <00c501c3f7bf\$fc568a50\$9b48b783@utad.utoledo.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have a straight through serial db-9 cable that I use for my Stamp board. Will this be the same cable I need for the Pic EL board to program the 16f87?
?

thanks

Ken
KC8YYC

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:45:46 -0600 (CST)
From: Dale Botkin <dale@botkin.org>
To: "Jason Hsu, AG4DG" <reply@jasonhsu.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168713] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0402200843450.5761-100000@madmax.botkin.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Jason Hsu, AG4DG wrote:

> Which of these two soldering irons should I buy? Just how important is the
> temperature control?

Without question, go for the WES51. You'll never be sorry. It's not
just temperature control, it's also got auto timed shutoff, ability to
lock it on temp and other goodies. Invest the extra couple of bills and
get the best you can (almost) afford, you'll be using it a long time.

73,

Dale - n0xas

--

It's a thankless job, but I've got a lot of Karma to burn off.
The NEW Super PicoKeyer offers speed control by pot OR menu!
Check <http://www.hamgadgets.com> for news.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:02:58 -0500
From: Jimmy Lee <jrllaudio@bellsouth.net>
To: tjennin2@rochester.rr.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168714] Re: Thanks to Jim, N3VXI
Message-ID: <403621A2.A3E8A126@bellsouth.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Me Too.

Jimmy, AE4DT

tjennin2@rochester.rr.com wrote:

> I wish to put in my thanks to Jim, N3VXI, for doing a great
> job running the QRP-L list.
> 73
> Tom kv2x

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:55:40 -0500
From: kenneth hoglund <hoglund@wfu.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168715] Coordinating Lists

Message-ID: <40361FEC.7070909@wfu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Sorry to jam-up the bandwidth, but I have been on the 'other" qrp-l list on qsl.net for some time. Got some of the "test" messages. But I have not seen Joe's W2KJ's message on "RX and TX Oscillator settings" that he said he posted to both lists. Have others seen it? Or is it just me??

TNX & 73
Ken KG4FGC

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:58:47 -0600
From: "Craig Johnson" <cbjohns@cbjohns.com>
To: <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168716] Re: Elmer 160
Message-ID: <019201c3f7c2\$0b6a5560\$6201a8c0@cbjp2>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Ken,

>I have a straight through serial db-9 cable that I use for my Stamp board.
>Will this be the same cable I need for the Pic EL board to program the 16f87
>Ken
>KC8YYC

Yes, that cable should work fine.
(I assume you mean the >>> 16F84 <<<)

72,
-Craig, AA0ZZ

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:59:51 -0500
From: "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
To: "qrp list" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168717] Thank You Jim E.
Message-ID: <001f01c3f7c2\$31693380\$6401a8c0@megalink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jim, you've been a (largely) under-appreciated contributor to the evolution of QRP as we know it today. That old perspective thing rings true: we seldom appreciate what we have until it's gone -or at least threatened, and this unfortunate incident clearly demonstrates that. I'm very sorry that you had to be on the really painful end of it all. Hopefully, we can all go forward with our gained wisdom and create an even better future.

73
seab
aa1my

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:20:22 -0500
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
To: "Jason Hsu, AG4DG" <reply@jasonhsu.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168718] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <403625B6.C3F907F5@erols.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Jason,

So you want to become an analog hardware engineer. So why buy a new soldering iron for your hobby? From where I sit you would be better served by waiting until you have gainful employment and using whatever your employer provides. No employer will let you bring in your own soldering iron. In the meantime, learn to solder on your Radio Shack iron.

Clean it up as best as you can. Invest in a new tip, and maybe a new heating element. Learn the basics of heating a clean joint and flowing solder onto it. These actions are the same whether you are using a 2.00 Radio shack special or a 100.00 Weller do all. If you cannot perform them with the simple and iron, your Weller will be a giant waste of money.

As for the two irons you listed, choose the lower priced one, the 5 to 40 watt unit. It will have the most application.

Jason, I really wish I could invite you over. We live less than about 40 miles apart, you in Fairfax and me in Wheaton. But I am in a

rehabilitation facility and will not be home for another two months if I am lucky. I think that two or three sessions would teach you most of what you need to know about through hole soldering.

73

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:26:51 -0500
From: "Noyce, Bill" <william.noyce@hp.com>
To: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Cc: <kdljv@moose.ncia.net>
Subject: [168719] Re: Next kit offering - some ideas
Message-ID:
<6D6463F31027B14FB3B1FB094F2C7447047DA0AE@tayexc17.americas.cpqcorp.net>
Content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Provide a noise source -- calibrated would be nice, but uncalibrated is still useful.

Provide an attenuated output from the dummy load
-- say 20dB?

Provide some way to measure response of RF (and AF?) filters over a range of frequencies. You would scan the DDS over the user's chosen range, and simultaneously provide an analog output ramp. Also provide a buffered copy of the output from the RF detector. The user connects his filter to the RF output & input, and connects an X-Y scope to the analog ramp and detector.

An alternative way to do the above would be to mix the DDS output with the RF input, and low-pass filter the mixer output. For measuring filters, the user would use the noise source as an input. This scheme might also provide poor man's spectrum analyzer for transmitters. It probably requires the user to notch out the transmitter's fundamental to prevent overload...

-- Bill, AB1AV

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:30:39 -0500
From: "Russ Hines" <wb8zcc@fuse.net>
To: <nielsen@oz.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168720] Re: New QRP List?
Message-ID: <00ad01c3f7c6\$7edffe60\$4407c00a@WB8ZCC2>

Not a problem. There's a link to qth.net at qsl.net.

73,
Russ
WB8ZCC

----- Original Message -----

From: "Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@oz.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 8:36 PM
Subject: Re: New QRP List?

> On Thu, Feb 19, 2004 at 04:34:59PM -0700, Karl Larsen wrote:
> > On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Bob Hightower wrote:
> >
> > > What I am waiting to hear is where the folks who run Atlanticon,
> Lobstercon,
> > > Ozark-con, Pacificon and the other gatherings, as well as those who
> offer
> > > the great kits that have become available, to let us know which list
> they
> > > are going to be on.
> > >
> > > Then, I will decide which one to join.
> > >
> > > All the infighting about which service or list is best is just so much
> > > background noise.
> > >
> > Hi Bob, it's the QRP-L list on the qsl.net server where everyone
> > is going. If you need help let me know.
> >
>
> Karl, it's qth.net (actually mailman.qth.net), not qsl.net. Both
> servers are run by Al, K3TKJ, which may cause some confusion (qsl.net
> is for personal web pages and email accounts).
>
> 73,
> Bob, N7XY
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:46:55 EST
From: ARDUJENSKI@aol.com
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168721] Invite to Puyallup Swapmeet--06 March
Message-ID: <132.2adc02fb.2d6785ef@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

This is a note to those in the Pacific NW that we are hoping to have a super turnout for QRP folks at the Puyallup, WA hamfest on 06 March.

<http://www.mikeandkey.com/flea.htm>

We have a few technical talks which will include Eric Swartz (WA6HHQ) from Elecraft and Bruce Prior N7RR from Bellingham, WA (discussing Backpack QRP) plus one other topic (to be announced).

We received approval to run a QRP station outdoors and will have several different rigs operating at various times on Saturday morning.

We are hoping to make Puyallup an annual gathering spot for QRP operators with the hopes of stimulating local activity

Alan KB7MBI in Woodinville, WA
FISTS 5702 / ARS / Proud member of ARRL
--- --- --- --- DIT DIT

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:56:29 -0600
From: "Boulineau, Lee" <lee.boulineau@attws.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168722] RE: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <3CD5FC1B2837DC46BC77FDDF187C26E3149DA8@tx-msg07-ccc.wireless.attws.com>
content-class: urn:content-classes:message
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable

Just a suggestion - go to the Elecraft webiste (www.elecraft.com) and look up Tom Hammond's excellent article on soldering technique!!

72/73 de N4MVL Lee

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU]On Behalf Of Dale Botkin

Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 8:46 AM

To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

Subject: Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron

On Thu, 19 Feb 2004, Jason Hsu, AG4DG wrote:

> Which of these two soldering irons should I buy? Just how important is the
> temperature control?

Without question, go for the WES51. You'll never be sorry. It's not *just* temperature control, it's also got auto timed shutoff, ability to lock it on temp and other goodies. Invest the extra couple of bills and get the best you can (almost) afford, you'll be using it a long time.

73,

Dale - n0xas

--=20

It's a thankless job, but I've got a lot of Karma to burn off.

The NEW Super PicoKeyer offers speed control by pot OR menu!

Check <http://www.hamgadgets.com> for news.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:00:49 -0500

From: "Donn" <dkuse@suwanneevalley.net>

To: <millered@magnet.fsu.edu>,

"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168723] Re: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag

Message-ID: <003001c3f7ca\$b664f530\$13f827a2@D5DP1021>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I got mine this week too. Seems like the post office keeps forgetting that Florida is here! Mail going out as well as coming in seems to take forever. One day it will go out fast, the next, takes a week.

Thanks for a very fine magazine. Unfortunately, due to my failing eyesight, I can no longer build my own anymore. May have to look to someone to build little items for me, as well as the bigger ones. Eventually hope to get the K2 and I know, even before my failing eyesight, I'd never be able to do that one! The SW40+ was bad enough and I couldn't finish it, finally sold it half done. Will be getting a list to the group of what items I have left.
73, Donn, WB4ZWT
68 and still learning

----- Original Message -----

From: "ed miller" <millered@magnet.fsu.edu>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 7:59 AM
Subject: Fw: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag

> I got mine this week. I know that us in Florida can't count, but I was
> beginning to think that we didn't count. I was wrong, again.
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:04:43 -0500
From: "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
To: "qrp list" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168724] "Moderation": Look it up.
Message-ID: <003301c3f7cb\$40e0c540\$6401a8c0@megalink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm posting these thoughts on this list in it's twilight moments, hoping that the new QRP-L will be an improved one based on our recent experience and painfully gained wisdom. Jim did an excellent job in both moderating and providing a sterling example for the next generation. The stated guidelines I just rec'd. seem to cover most what I want in a list pretty well.

"Moderation" is a great word and a fundamental requirement for a group such as ours. Look it up in a good dictionary and try to imagine our daily discourse without moderation, both personal and imposed. The list Moderator, after all, merely tries to ensure that agreed limits are not exceeded by individuals who,

for whatever reason, can't moderate themselves. We've experimented with no moderation and most of us found it to be undesirable due to several individuals with behavior that is the very definition of "anti-social".

Even in the best of circumstances, some will disagree with the Moderator. That's good and natural, but it should be understood that within the agreed limits, the Moderator is doing her/his very best to honor the agreement. That's not being "thought police" and as a group, we should do a far better job of supporting the moderator than we have in the past in order to preserve the qualities we want in a list.

Character assassination, insult, ridicule or mindless prattle are generally regarded as undesirable by most of us and with over 4,000 of members all over Planet Earth, that's a good thing. With so many of us stressed and over-committed in today's hectic world, it's really important to make it easy and enjoyable to do what we're here to do.

It is apparent that a few of us don't understand the concept of responsible citizenship in this "hobby nation" of ours and would continue to disrespect the rest with public, offensive behavior. That's why I'm happy to support list moderation with no regrets. Several members have proven, over and over, that we need it.

Sincerely

73

seab

aa1my

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:07:10 -0800 (PST)
From: John Kalotai <n1olo@yahoo.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168725] unsubscribe
Message-ID: <20040220160710.80693.qmail@web80407.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

pse unsubscribe

=====

John Kalotai
N10LO

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:12:37 -0500
From: "John J. McDonough" <wb8rcr@arrl.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168726] Re: AmQRP thanks Jim for many good years of QRP-L
Message-ID: <009401c3f7cc\$5d720b50\$080044c0@BrianBoru>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I'm glad to see the big guns weighing in on this. I sort of felt like the qth list was the right answer. The one concern I have is whether they have enough gas for a list this busy. They run a whole pile of lists, so I suspect so. Still, the others I'm subscribed to tend to have a lot less traffic than this one.

Al, K3TKJ, runs all these lists and all the qsl.net web pages off donations and his own nickel. I think it would be a good thing if we all thought about whether it would be appropriate to help him out a little.

Most of the other suggestions were for web based approaches, and I haven't seen a web approach that is up to the task of this sort of traffic. The closest I've seen is www.isixsigma.com, and they have a staff of several full time people to manage a web list with perhaps half the traffic that qrp-l produces.

I know Ken Evans mentioned QRP-F several times, but their model is really only good for low volume. For those of you who forgot (or weren't around), QRP-F was formed to draw non-technical traffic from QRP-L, with the intent of keeping QRP-L a little more technical. I'm not sure it really worked all that well, but QRP-F does tend to be a little less technical than QRP-L.

But it's great to see that we seem to have a consensus. Now if Al's servers can just stand the storm!

72/73 de WB8RCR <http://www.qsl.net/wb8rcr>
didileydadidah QRP-L #1446 Code Warriors #35

----- Original Message -----

From: "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 11:40 PM
Subject: AmQRP thanks Jim for many good years of QRP-L

> 72/73,
> The AmQRP Leadership Team:
> George Heron, N2APB n2apb@amqrp.org
> Doug Hendricks, KI6DS ki6ds@amqrp.org
> Jim Cates, WA6GER wa6ger@amqrp.org
> Joe Everhart, N2CX n2cx@amqrp.org
> Paul Maciel, AK1P ak1p@amqrp.org
> at <http://www.amqrp.org>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:18:27 -0800 (PST)
From: Gary Slagel <gdslagel@yahoo.com>
To: QRP L <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168727] Montana QRPfest?
Message-ID: <20040220161827.87032.qmail@web11610.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Seems like I've seen posts the last couple summers
about a qrp hamfest in mountains of Montana... can't
remember where. The xyl wants to go take some weaving
classes in Mt and I thought if she could schedule it
at the right time I might take her up this summer.

Anyone know what I'm talking about and when it will be
this summer?

Thanks for the help,
Gary

=====
Gary Slagel/N0SXX
Conifer, CO
<http://gdslagel.bravepages.com>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.
<http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:22:21 -0000

From: "Dick" <dick@pascoes.plus.com>
To: <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168728] QRP-L & QRPARCI
Message-ID: <006501c3f7cd\$d7d54320\$6a329fd4@main>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi gang,

It has been the habit of QRP-ARCI to make announcements
on this list on matters that couldn't wait until the next QQ appeared .

All new information as from 1st March will appear on the new list
where we will continue to make occasional mention of matters of
urgent interest to all QRPers.

To paraphrase: The list is dead - long live the list !!!

Dick Pascoe G0BPS
President QRP-ARCI. (QRP-Amateur Radio Club International - www.qrparci.org)

Fishing is the closest thing to doing nothing at all, without getting into
politics.
All mail from me is checked by Norton before posting and is (hopefully)
Virus Free.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:35:43 -0500
From: "Brian Riley (maillist)" <n1bq_list@wulfden.org>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168729] Re: Help with the DDS Daughtercard
Message-ID: <BC5BA18F.1FD3F%n1bq_list@wulfden.org>
Mime-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

You may need to put a couple of 6 inch lengths of wire into the BNC
connectors on your receiver and the PIC-EL ... I got no signal at all on my
K-1 even though it was right next to the PIC-EL, but ll it took was 3 inches
of hookup wire stuck into each BNC and I had a full scale signal.

On 2/19/04 11:22 PM, "George Heron N2APB" <n2apb@clearviewcatv.net> wrote:

> Just a quick clarification Jeff, after re-reading your note.
>
> You said "I do not get a 100 MHz signal coming out" ... of course the DDS
> card only generates signals in the HF spectrum (1 - 30 MHz), and
> specifically with the test program it generates signals at/around 7.040
> MHz. So you need to tune your receiver to 7.040, select the CW mode and the
> widest filter and tune around a little +/- 1 kHz or so.
>
> The oscillator can on the board *does* generate 100 MHz, and you can measure
> this with an RF probe or oscilloscope at the little hole above R1 on the
> pcb. But this frequency just drives the DDS chip and will not be heard on
> your HF rig.
>
> 73, George N2APB
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:39:36 -0800
From: Lou Roux <w6ur@bak.rr.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168730] remove
Message-ID: <40363848.9090101@bak.rr.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

remove

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:40:13 -0700
From: "Rod N0RC" <rc7039-hr@yahoo.com>
To: "qrp-l" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168731] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <006401c3f7d0\$376351e0\$6401a8c0@greyrock>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

HMMM

First BPL, now this. I guess it is time to give up on amateur radio

completely. It just isn't the hobby it used to be.

Bye all.

73, Rod N0RC

----- Original Message -----

Subject: The future of QRP-L

From: Jim Eshleman (jce0@Lehigh.EDU)

Date: Wed Feb 18 2004 - 16:38:11 EST

> Gang,

> I will pull the plug on QRP-L on Feb 29.

...

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:42:30 -0600

From: "Frank Emens" <femens@hiwaay.net>

To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu

Subject: [168732] Thanks to Jim

Message-ID: <4035E496.30358.12F418@localhost>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=US-ASCII

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

Content-description: Mail message body

I can't let this thing expire without taking the chance to thank Jim Eshelman for the work he's done for the list over the last few years. He kept a pretty low profile with his moderation chores and apparently did his best to keep things on an even keel.

He blocked a couple of posts of mine over the years, but on a second look, it was obvious that my posts were not of a tone to maintain peace on the list. I regret that a few people seemed to take issue with the idea that the list was not the place for bashing of any individual or organization. That stuff has nothing to do with QRP.

Again, thanks to Jim for his labors on our behalf, and let's carry a more cooperative attitude over to the new location.

--

Frank Emens
femens@hiwaay.net
Huntsville, Alabama

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:42:09 -0500
From: Steve Lawrence <Steve.Lawrence@ITWFEG.COM>
To: kd1jv@moose.ncia.net
Cc: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168733] Re: Next kit offering
Message-ID: <0F8A1888E9.1BE2E981-0N85256E40.005B8DEB-85256E40.005BBF9E@itwfeg.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Let's take the "frequency marker" idea a step further.... a precision TCXO
or something similar as an accurate time base for all the functions....
and a marker generator too!

Steve
aa8af

Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
Sent by: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
02/19/2004 12:36 PM
Please respond to
kd1jv@moose.ncia.net

To
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
cc

Subject
Next kit offering

Here's a heads up on the next kit I plan on developing for this coming
summer.

It will be a test box for working on QRP rigs. It will intergrate a number of test functions into one box.

1. 10 watt dummy load
2. RF power meter
3. RF volt meter
4. Frequency counter
5. AF signal generator
6. Possibly pervisions for adding a NJQRP DDS daughter board for RF signal generation
7. Suggestions for additional functions welcome.

72,
Steve, KD1JV
"Melt Solder"
White Mountains of New Hampshire
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 08:48:34 -0800 (PST)
From: Garie Halstead K8KFJ <khyberpass65@yahoo.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168734] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <20040220164834.66054.qmail@web60302.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

--- George Fremin III <geoiiii@kkn.net> wrote:

> I do alot of domestic contests - I usually do pretty well in
> these events. My 40m yagi is really not that high in terms
> of wavelengths and from both on the air activity and computer
> modeling of the terrain I am pretty sure it has plenty of high angle
> energy.

What about crank-up towers George? A friend of mine has 2 elements on 40m at about 65 feet. His QTH has some decent elevation with falling away terrain on 3 sides. Consequently, we does quite well working DX with the low take-off angle. However, he cranks the antenna down (actually, it's motor driven) to it's lowest level for domestic contests like SS, NAQP, etc. Says the antenna works very well domestically at the much lower level. I don't think he has modeling software but it all sounds credible to me (achieving higher angles with

the antenna closer to the ground). Watcha think?

72, Gary -K8KFJ-
West Virginia

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.
<http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:00:21 -0600
From: "rattray" <rattray@accesscomm.ca>
To: <gds slagel@yahoo.com>
Cc: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168735] RE: Montana QRPfest?
Message-ID: <001101c3f7d3\$0950db80\$7900a8c0@Bonnie>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello Gary - you might be meaning the Glacier-Waterton Hamfest which is held the middle weekend of July every year...this will be the 70th year...it's held at the 3 Forks Campground on route #2 just west of the Continental Divide...they have a web site...
...re QRP, I've been running a QRP Get-together for a few years on Saturday morning...it's one of the many seminars on the agenda...hope this helps - 72 - Bruce ve5rc/ve5qrp

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of Gary Slagel
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 10:18 AM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion
Subject: Montana QRPfest?

Seems like I've seen posts the last couple summers about a qrp hamfest in mountains of Montana... can't remember where. The xyl wants to go take some weaving classes in Mt and I thought if she could schedule it at the right time I might take her up this summer.

Anyone know what I'm talking about and when it will be this summer?

Thanks for the help,

Gary

=====

Gary Slagel/N0SXX
Conifer, CO
<http://gdslagel.bravepages.com>

Do you Yahoo!?
Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.
<http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:01:35 -0500
From: Spoolieman@aol.com
To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168736] remove
Message-ID: <112CA28F.251F8E04.0CEA5897@aol.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit

remove pls

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:09:44 -0600
From: Don <dwitt@htctech.net>
To: william.noyce@hp.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168737] Re: Next kit offering - some ideas
Message-ID: <40363F58.C791499E@htctech.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

1. How about a RF noise source gated at an audio frequency
2. add the regulated/current-limited power supply for smokeless testing.
3. add a very low voltage, low current, low Ohms continuity tester for detecting open traces and bad joints without endangering

semiconductors.

4. RF voltmeter could expand to be DC voltmeter and field strength meter.

5. Increase the total cost, but facilitate expansion and customization. Modularize the system into one card per feature (or family of features), let each card have a board edge that fits a commonly available standard board edge connector or connector for ribbon cable. This QRP BUS would facilitate distribution of common power and signals and would make insertion/connection of a card very quick. Builder could decide how many slots he wants to provide in his cabinet. Home lab cabinet of 10 slots, traveling cabinet of 3 slots.

6. Add a digital LED or LCD visual readout option for silent operation when Morse readout is not desired.

7. add ESR tester for capacitors

8. Add current balance measurement for balanced antenna feedlines.

After adding all above features, add a set of wheels or skids. (humor)

72,

Don WN9V

retired PE, electrical engineer. Ham since 1962.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:04:40 -0800 (PST)
From: Shawn Upton <kb1ckt@yahoo.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168738] Re: Next kit offering
Message-ID: <20040220170440.13431.qmail@web60403.mail.yahoo.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

What about a simple rf generator? I think that is what the provisions for the DDS project is--but what about a simple one, for those not pursuing the DDS project? Or provisions (and parts) and the end user adds the "expensive" parts (like an air variable cap, if it required one). Maybe with outputs at 455kHz, 10.8MHz, and 80/40m, for aligning stages etc. Just a thought.

Shawn
KB1CKT

> Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
> Sent by: owner-qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU

> 02/19/2004 12:36 PM
> Please respond to
> kd1jv@moose.ncia.net
>
>
> To
> "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion"
> <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
> cc
>
> Subject
> Next kit offering
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Here's a heads up on the next kit I plan on
> developing for this coming
> summer.
>
> It will be a test box for working on QRP rigs. It
> will intergrate a number
> of test functions into one box.
>
> 1. 10 watt dummy load
> 2. RF power meter
> 3. RF volt meter
> 4. Frequency counter
> 5. AF signal generator
> 6. Possibly pervisions for adding a NJQRP DDS
> daughter board for RF signal
> generation
> 7. Suggestions for additional functions welcome.
>
>
> 72,
> Steve, KD1JV
> "Melt Solder"
> White Mountains of New Hampshire
> <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
>
>

=====

Shawn Upton, KB1CKT

Do you Yahoo!?

Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.

<http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 09:12:25 -0800

From: "Doug Hendricks" <ki6ds@dpol.net>

To: <qrp-l@mailman.qth.net>, <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168739] Atlanticon and Ozarkcon Registrations

Message-ID: <016c01c3f7d4\$b5f58600\$4a0b0d0a@dph.dpol.net>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain;

charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Guys, I have been talking with George Heron and Gene Sailsbury, and both are quite pleased with the number of preregistered qrpers for Atlanticon and Ozarkcon coming up in a few short weeks. George said that over 120 have preregistered for Atlanticon, and that is a fantastic number. The program continues to grow, and George is quite excited about the great lineup of speakers that he has for this year. Plus, the Elsie kit is part of the preregistration bonus, and is one that you don't want to miss. This year's lineup includes: K1SWL (75m rigs & antenna designs), N2CX (component measurement), AA0ZZ (PIC-EL project board), WB8RCR (Elmer 160), WA3WSJ (antennas & field operating), and N2APB (Micro908 for component measurement). These are hour-long audio/visual presentations made by knowledgeable hams in fields of transmitter design, antenna analysis, homebrew construction, electronic simulation, operating from the field, microcontroller hardware & software design, and more. There will be a QRP Hospitality room both Friday and Saturday nights, and several vendors will be setting up tables again this year. Plus, the AmQRP Club will have a table featuring kits from all of our affiliated clubs.

Gene Sailsbury is nervous as can be back in Kansas. Gene and the 4SQRP Club are getting ready to host their very first Ozarkcon, which is the replacement for Arkiecon that was done so well by Jay Bromley for 5 years at Ft. Smith. The event has moved to Joplin, Missouri, and is going to be an exciting event too. I will be traveling to Ozarkcon as I have been honored by being asked to be the MC for the event. The speaker lineup is outstanding, including George Baker, W5YR, Dave Gauding, NF0R, Tommy Henderson, WD5AGO, and Dan Tayloe, N7VE. That is an amazing lineup of talent for a first time event. Plus there will be a no host dinner on Friday night, and a qrp flea market and open house Friday night. Several vendors including Diz, Kits and Parts (the toroid guy), Bob Dyer and Wilderness Radio, James Bennett and Pacific Antennas will be there. Plus

guys are urged to bring their qrp items for sale to the event. Am QRP will have a club table with kits from all of our affiliated clubs for sale. But the fun event on Friday night will be the operating contest featuring the Ozarkcon keyer kits that will be mailed out to all who preregister. Saturday night, George Baker will host a hands on session with antenna modeling software that promises to be a classic event. The usual building contests, and a drawing for prizes will be held Saturday night also.

AmQRP club is the cosponsor of both of these events, just the first in a series of 6 big regional qrp forums that we have scheduled for this year. We hope to see you there!! If you haven't registered or would like more information, go to www.Amqrp.org and click on the QRP Forums button for more information.

I really want to encourage all of you to attend as many of these qrp forums as you can. I can't begin to explain to you how much fun it is to actually meet and talk with the qrpers that attend these events. I have been going for 11 years, and I can't wait until the next one happens. You won't regret it for sure. 72, Doug, KI6DS

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:13:50 -0500
From: Alex <kr1st@amsat.org>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168740] Re: "Moderation": Look it up.
Message-ID: <4036404E.563D60A@amsat.org>
MIME-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

Hi Seab,

sslyon wrote:

> It is apparent that a few of us don't understand the concept of
> responsible citizenship in this "hobby nation" of ours and would
> continue to disrespect the rest with public, offensive behavior.

It's amazing, isn't it, that a only a few members of a group can cause such powerful reactions? We rather put up with censorship/moderation, than dose our reaction to whatever we disagree with to a level that is more appropriate.

A quick example. When Doug made known to the list that the results of a certain contest were lost, there was exactly one "negative" reaction to Doug's message posted to the list. It didn't even come from the person

you probably would have expected it from. However, even before the so called "negative" reaction was posted to the list, there were already posts complaining about "all those" negative reactions. (check the archives, it was a rather interesting event) Next thing you know this whole thing bloomed into "defend-fest", while there was little that needed to be defended against.

What I'm trying to say here is, that we should also be responsible regarding our own reaction to whatever happens on the list and perhaps show some constraint. It's easy to point to a few people and blame them for all the problems they are causing. Much harder is to look at ourselves and see if there's something we can do ourselves to prevent a situation getting out of hand before we assign this incredible difficult job of moderation to someone.

73,
--Alex KR1ST
<http://www.kr1st.com>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:26:45 -0600
From: "Nick Kennedy" <wa5bdu@tcainternet.com>
To: <w6ur@bak.rr.com>,
 "'Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion'" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168741] RE: [qrp-1] remove: HOW-TO
Message-ID: <000701c3f7d6\$b6c0b350\$0400000a@wa5bdu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I several folks trying to unsubscribe, remove and so on. Here's a link to the commands that will do it for you:

<http://mywebpages.comcast.net/w8kc/qrp1c.htm>

Me, I'm staying till the bitter end--Feb 29, 2004. I reckon I won't even need to unsubscribe after that.

72--Nick, WA5BDU

-----Original Message-----

From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU] On Behalf Of Lou Roux
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 10:40 AM
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

Subject: [qrp-l] remove

remove

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:29:26 -0500 (EST)
From: "Thom R. Lacosta" <lacosta@bcpl.net>
To: "qrp-L@Lehigh.EDU" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168742] Lists for QRP
Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.4.58.0402201224500.3007@mail>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

I'm still waiting for confirmation from the "new list", or what I think is the new list.

It strikes me that one benefit of the change is that many folks who operate other lists and/or forums have announced them here.

Many, as I did, created them in the past...and had different levels of use.

Before this list disappears, I'd like to extend and offer to anyone operating a list or forum that's QRP related to forward me information on the venue...it's name, how to join, etc. and I'll be happy to have all of them listed on the QRP web Ring home page at

www.zerobeat.net/qrp/

Thom

www.zerobeat.net/qrp/	Home of the QRP web ring
http://www.baltimorehon.com/	Home of the Baltimore Lexicon
http://www.tlchost.net/	Web Hosting as low as 3.49/month

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:48:10 -0500
From: John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168743] Thanks.....
Message-ID: <4036485A.B981ACD5@peoplepc.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Thanks to Jim for all the hours and headaches put into the maintenance of QRP-L. It has been a great learning tool for all of us, and a little fun watching the "QRP POWER STRUGGLES," from time to time. (How about that for an oxymoron?!)

It's especially good for those of us outside the area of a full-fledged qrp club, who must get their info wherever they can.

Thanks again, Jim!

73,
John Farler
K4AVX
Hazard, KY
jfarler@peoplepc.com

Mbr...QRPARCI, AMQRP, G-QRP, FP, Fists, etc.....
"The picture's better on the radio..." JF

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:48:40 -0500
From: "Paul , VE1DY" <ve1dy@eudoramail.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168744] Re: [QRP-L] Antennas on metal buildings?
Message-ID: <IFKCBNEMBHKECAAA@whowhere.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Language: en
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Michael...

I use an end fed wire at work - lunch times only ;-)

My office, is on the second floor of a two story metal building, located in the country about 20 mins. from Halifax.

A 67 ft. wire runs from my l-network matcher, through the window and out to a tree. I ground the matcher on the metal window frame.

This set up works very well. I've had great reports using half to two watt power levels.

I use 40, 30 and 20 meters, and tune the matcher with the "tenna dipper" first, which works very well. After everything's set, I hook up the 516.

I you have any more question, by all means ask.

72, Paul

PS... I sent this through lehigh.edu because mailman.qth still isn't working out.

It will probably go through in a day or so. but I get a failure notice every time? Been approved too...

--

----- Original Message -----

DATE: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:13:58
From: Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>
To: "QRP-L (qth.net)" <qrp-l@mailman.qth.net>
Cc:

>I am looking for suggestions for HF antennas on large
>metal buildings.

>

>I am in an industrial park. My unit is on the end and
>is 18' x 50'. The building is 50' x 216'+. The building is
>basically two story with the first floor walls of concrete
>block, and the second floor walls and roof of steel.

>

>I need antennas for 40m first, later I want 80, 15 and 10,
>perhaps 20 and 30 but I rarely operate on 20 and don't have
>a rig for 30 right now.

>

>Additional info, I am on the central West coast of Florida
>and I am mostly interested in local rather than DX contacts.

>

>thanks

>

>Michael N6CHV

>

>-----
>QRP-L mailing list
>QRP-L@mailman.qth.net
><http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>
>

Need a new email address that people can remember
Check out the new EudoraMail at
<http://www.eudoramail.com>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 11:49:08 -0600
From: George Fremin III <geoiiii@kkn.net>
To: Garie Halstead K8KFJ <khyberpass65@yahoo.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168745] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <20040220174908.GG2951@kkn.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Fri, Feb 20, 2004 at 08:48:34AM -0800, Garie Halstead K8KFJ wrote:

>
> What about crank-up towers George? A friend of mine has 2 elements on
> 40m at about 65 feet. His QTH has some decent elevation with falling
> away terrain on 3 sides. Consequently, we does quite well working DX
> with the low take-off angle.

How much and how fast it falls off makes a huge difference.

My terrain to EU looks like this:

<http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr/blanco/k5tr-40-profile.jpg>

But even with this much downward slope a 40 meter antenna
at 60' is not a very good low angle radiator - it really needs
to be higher. Of course if the drop off were bigger and
steeper and closer to the base of the tower it would make
more of a difference.

> However, he cranks the antenna down
> (actually, it's motor driven) to it's lowest level for domestic
> contests like SS, NAQP, etc. Says the antenna works very well
> domestically at the much lower level. I don't think he has modeling
> software but it all sounds credible to me (achieving higher angles with
> the antenna closer to the ground). Watcha think?

Yes - I am sure that if you lower the antnena you can make the angle
go up and this could help. But there is some point around a take off
angle of 30 or 40 degrees that just is not going to gain you much on paths

that are longer than say 300 to 400 miles. But 65' is pretty low to start with on 40 meters - this is only .5 wavelengths up in the air. This should have quite a bit of energy at 30 to 40 degrees.

Like having your 10m antenna at 16'.

I still think the dominate problem is that as the MUF falls the ionosphere will no longer reflect much or any signal at the high angles needed to make a 400 mile or less path. If I get on while the sun is still up I bet my signal will be very good in Dallas but, once the MUF falls off even running 1500 watts will not let me work stations within the skip zone.

--

George Fremin III - K5TR
geoiiii@kkn.net
<http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:16:43 -0500
From: Steven Weber <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168746] Proposed QRP test box
Message-ID: <3.0.6.32.20040220131643.007cab30@mailhost.ncia.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="us-ascii"

Hi Gang,

The idea of a QRP bench test box seems to be a popular one. Thanks for all the suggestions for additional features. Some will be easy to add, others would drive the price up beyond reason. My initial thoughts were to put as many useful features as possible in the box, and keep the price to about \$50.00. "Feature creep", as it's known in the industry, may drive the price up, but we'll see.

Here are some of the suggestions:

1. Audio amp.

This is easy to add and is useful for audio signal tracking

2. DC volt meter / Amp meter

This wouldn't be too hard to add, but everyone has, or should have, a DVM,

which would be more accurate, so don't really see a need for it.

3. Marker generator

This would be useful, especially if the DDS option isn't installed. I'm thinking of an old fashion 100 kHz, 50 kHz, 25 kHz marker.

4. Noise generator.

This is another low cost addition, so may make it's way in there. By connecting the wide band noise source up to your receiver input and then looking at the output with a PC based audio spectrum analyzer, you can see your overall audio response. It's a good way of setting your BFO trimmer to align with any audio band pass filters which might be in the rig.

5. Sweep generator for seeing filter response.

This is a little more complicated and would require the DDS option. I'm thinking of adding an AD8307 log detector chip to make the measurements. This chip has a 90 dBm range (+14 to -80) and is more suited to this than the RF voltmeter would be.

To sweep a filter, you would set a start freq, a frequency step size and number of steps. The processor would then step the DDS through that range, while stopping to take a measurement with the AD8307 at each step. The processor would then figure out the points the filter response was down -3 dB, -6 dB and -20 dB. The results would be shown on the four digit LED display, using one of the control buttons to scroll through the results. This will take some interesting programming to accomplish, but shouldn't be too bad..

6. PC interface

If I have the spare I/O bits this pretty much a no cost addition. Problem is, to be useful, someone (not me!) would have to write a PC compatible data logging/graphing and control software package for it.

7. L/C meter.

This is also a possibility we can look into.

8. Built in power supply with a selection of output voltages and/or variable supply.

This I think will be left to the individual builder to decide to add or not, rather than drive the price of the kit up.

9. Attenuators for the DDS output

The additon of the AD8307 log detector makes accurately metering the RF signal output possable and a simple variable attenuator practical. Since the chip only measures down to -80 dBm or so, using additional fixed attenuators externally would be the way to get to really low levels for receiver MDS measurements.

Basicly, what we're going to end up designing here is a "service monitor" for HF QRP rigs. Although to be a true service monitor, it should have a built in reciever and 'Scope, but that's getting carried away! Should be an interesting project to develop :-)

72,
Steve, KD1JV
"Melt Solder"
White Mountains of New Hampshire
<http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 19:06:02 +0100
From: Ingo Meyer DK3RED <dk3red@t-online.de>
To: QRP-L old <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168747] Reminder: unsubscribe
Message-ID: <40364C8A.4010703@t-online.de>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I see the mails with "remove" and "unsubscribe" on the list, so I want to point the right direction. The way to do it is easy. Simple send an e-mail from your e-mail account to

listserv@Lehigh.EDU

with

UNSUBSCRIBE QRP-L

in the body and an empty subject. That's all! If you want so you can find the full list of commands on

<http://listserv.lehigh.edu/lists/qrp-l/join.html>

Hope to see you on the other end of the tunnel.

--

72/73 de Ingo, DK3RED Don't forget: the fun is the power!

dk3red@t-online.de <http://www.t-online.de/~dk3red>
DL-QRP-AG #824 <http://www.dl-qrp-ag.de>
QRP ARCI #11295 <http://www.qrparci.org>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:23:10 -0800
From: "Bob Tellefsen" <n6wg@comcast.net>
To: <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168748] Re: Proposed QRP test box
Message-ID: <002601c3f7de\$98bf9a80\$c8c2fea9@attbi.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

"5. Sweep generator for seeing filter response."

Steve
How big a hassle would it be to add a deflection voltage output so an
o'scope
could be used to view the swept output?

73, Bob N6WG

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:24:40 -0800
From: "Bob Tellefsen" <n6wg@comcast.net>
To: <dk3red@t-online.de>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168749] Re: Reminder: unsubscribe
Message-ID: <003501c3f7de\$cdfb3a60\$c8c2fea9@attbi.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

"I see the mails with "remove" and "unsubscribe" on the list, so I want
to point the right direction. "

Is there any need to unsubscribe, since the list will be turned off and
dead?

73, Bob N6WG

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:26:04 -0500
From: John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
To: qrp-1 <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168750] FS:Rockmite, Emtech NW80, etc.
Message-ID: <4036513C.80646F4B@peoplepc.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I have for sale the following:

1. An Emtech NW-80 just finished. Has the audio filter, variable bandwidth xtal filter, and case. Kit components cost \$140. Covers all of 80 m. CW band, 3.5-3.725 mhz., 5 watts output.

I have made several contacts with the rig and output and receiver seem to be OK. It may need a last touch of alignment..... \$80 mailed to US.

2. Rockmite 40 Kit.....in bag, with instructions, not started.....\$25 in US.

3. AEA Keyer Trainer KT-2. Uses 10-15 external DC, positive or grid-block (neg) keying, has a trainer mode for increasing speed, which will send random groups.
Keypad entry of speed, weight, paddle reverse, training modes, etc. Potentiometer volume control with off/on switch.
With the user manual..... \$35 shipped in US.

4, Xtals in the usual small HC-46 case for qrp rigs.
3686.4 Mhz.....\$3 each mailed, or two for \$5.

73/72,
John Farler
K4AVX
Hazard, Ky.
jfarler@peoplepc.com

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:24:58 -0600
From: "Steve" <sswhite@mchsi.com>

To: <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168751] Re: Proposed QRP test box
Message-ID: <000a01c3f7de\$d8b5a850\$c801a8c0@workstation>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Steve

You did not explicitly say but I assume that there would be a freq counter as part of this as well as an ordinary RF signal generator, if you have the sweep already.

Your right this is a service monitor and it may cost a bit more than the normal kits but I think it would be accepted quite well even if it was \$100 or so. I suppose things like the DDS and counter could be plug in options to keep initial cost low. But almost all of us need good signal generators, counters and sweepers since the used stuff is often not too stable.

How bout having this ready next week...I sure could use it to align/troubleshoot my RH-20 that is about finished.

Steve NU0P

Steve NU0P

----- Original Message -----

From: "Steven Weber" <kd1jv@moose.ncia.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 12:16 PM
Subject: Proposed QRP test box

> Hi Gang,

>

> The idea of a QRP bench test box seems to be a popular one. Thanks for all
> the suggestions for additional features. Some will be easy to add, others
> would drive the price up beyond reason. My initial thoughts were to put as
> many useful features as possible in the box, and keep the price to about
> \$50.00. "Feature creep", as it's known in the industry, may drive the
price

> up, but we'll see.

>

> Here are some of the suggestions:

>

> 1. Audio amp.

>

> This is easy to add and is useful for audio signal tracking
>
> 2. DC volt meter / Amp meter
>
> This wouldn't be too hard to add, but everyone has, or should have, a DVM,
> which would be more accurate, so don't really see a need for it.
>
> 3. Marker generator
>
> This would be useful, especially if the DDS option isn't installed. I'm
> thinking of an old fashion 100 kHz, 50 kHz, 25 kHz marker.
>
> 4. Noise generator.
>
> This is another low cost addition, so may make it's way in there. By
> connecting the wide band noise source up to your receiver input and then
> looking at the output with a PC based audio spectrum analyzer, you can see
> your overall audio response. It's a good way of setting your BFO trimmer
to
> align with any audio band pass filters which might be in the rig.
>
> 5. Sweep generator for seeing filter response.
>
> This is a little more complicated and would require the DDS option. I'm
> thinking of adding an AD8307 log detector chip to make the measurements.
> This chip has a 90 dBm range (+14 to -80) and is more suited to this than
> the RF voltmeter would be.
>
> To sweep a filter, you would set a start freq, a frequency step size and
> number of steps. The processor would then step the DDS through that range,
> while stopping to take a measurement with the AD8307 at each step. The
> processor would then figure out the points the filter response was down -3
> dB, -6 dB and -20 dB. The results would be shown on the four digit LED
> display, using one of the control buttons to scroll through the results.
> This will take some interesting programming to accomplish, but shouldn't
be
> too bad..
>
> 6. PC interface
>
> If I have the spare I/O bits this pretty much a no cost addition. Problem
> is, to be useful, someone (not me!) would have to write a PC compatible
> data logging/graphing and control software package for it.
>
> 7. L/C meter.
> This is also a possibility we can look into.
>
> 8. Built in power supply with a selection of output voltages and/or

> variable supply.
>
> This I think will be left to the individual builder to decide to add or
> not, rather than drive the price of the kit up.
>
> 9. Attenuators for the DDS output
>
> The additon of the AD8307 log detector makes accurately metering the RF
> signal output possable and a simple variable attenuator practical. Since
> the chip only measures down to -80 dBm or so, using additional fixed
> attenuators externally would be the way to get to really low levels for
> receiver MDS measurements.
>
> Basicly, what we're going to end uo designing here is a "service monitor"
> for HF QRP rigs. Although to be a true service monitor, it should have a
> built in reciever and 'Scope, but that's getting carried away! Should be
an
> interesting project to develop :-)
>
>
> 72,
> Steve, KD1JV
> "Melt Solder"
> White Mountains of New Hampshire
> <http://www.qsl.net/kd1jv/>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 18:33:08 -0000
From: "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
To: "Low Power" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168752] New QRP-L application rejected
Message-ID: <BAY12-DAV623vSAMfKQ000392eb@hotmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

My application to join the new QRP-L was rejected because I didn't provide
my name and call sign. However, there is no way that this can be entered on
the web page:

<http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>

It would be a good idea to amend the web page so that this essential
information may be entered.

How do I join?

73, Leon

--

Leon Heller, G1HSM

Email: aqzf13@dsl.pipex.com

My low-cost Philips LPC210x ARM development system:

http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller/lpc2104.html

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:35:50 -0500

From: Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>

To: pulsarxp@earthlink.net,

Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168753] Re: Too Many Chiefs?

Message-ID: <007101c3f7e0\$60c34210\$6402a8c0@J4>

MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1

Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

There plenty of alternatives? I've not heard of one yet.

I cannot imagine a single instance where AmQRP could bias a list server such as QRP-L with its many diverse (and divisive) members

73 de Lee

----- Original Message -----

From: "Lee Bahr" <pulsarxp@earthlink.net>

To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 12:30 PM

Subject: Re: Too Many Chiefs?

> I feel exactly as Dan does about a host such as AMQRP. I could not have
> said it better than him. I like AMQRP and they do a lot of good, but I
fear

> they are becomming too commercialized and a list run by them would be
> biased. Their magazine is already becomming this way. Too much power in
> one camp is not a good thing. There are plenty of alternatives available
to

> us so we can avoid this situation. Please understand, I am not putting
> AMQRP down. I just think seperation of power is good for QRP.

> Lee, w0vt

> Houston

>

> snip
> > At any rate, I have already subbed to at least three other lists, one or
> > two of them may get the majority of the members of this list. I probably
> > won't join a web only list and probably not join a list that is put out
by
> > any certain club, such as ARCI or AMQRP or others like them. Not because
I
> > don't believe in what they are all about, (I am currently and will
remain
> a
> > member of both those clubs), but because I want to get more unbiased
info
> > from any list that takes over for this list. Only an independently owned
> > list can provide that on a regular basis. I will join SIG lists if I
want
> > info pertaining to a certain club or affiliation.
>
> > Dan Harriman
> > Orange, Texas
> snip
>
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 18:39:18 -0000
From: "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
To: <w6toy@erols.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168754] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <BAY12-DAV62djTHrTPM000392f5@hotmail.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="Windows-1252"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

----- Original Message -----
From: "Bruce Muscolino" <w6toy@erols.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 3:20 PM
Subject: Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron

> Jason,

>
> So you want to become an analog hardware engineer. So why buy a new
> soldering iron for your hobby? From where I sit you would be better
> served by waiting until you have gainful employment and using whatever
> your employer provides. No employer will let you bring in your own
> soldering iron. In the meantime, learn to solder on your Radio Shack
> iron.
>

I used to take my own soldering station in where I used to work, if I had any PCB assembly to be done. It was much better than the crappy equipment they had available. 8-)

73, Leon

--

Leon Heller, G1HSM

Email: aqzf13@dsl.pipex.com

My low-cost Philips LPC210x ARM development system:

http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller/lpc2104.html

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:42:29 -0500 (EST)
From: George Gingell <k3tks@u1.abs.net>
To: QRP List <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Cc: Jim Eshleman <jce0@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168755] Re: Goodby QRP-L
Message-ID: <20040220133153.T84425-100000@u1.abs.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Jim,

Just a short note to say thanks for all your work over the years.

We will all miss qrp-l, Some more than others. I had fallen behind in my

Digest Reading about a week. What a horriable discovery awaited, NO More

QRP-L. ;^{

The shame of it all is that one person can cause the death of the best qrp forum ever established. May they receive their just reward..

Guess this will be my final post as I am stuck with an email system

access. Again, Best Wishes and Many Thanks to you Jim, and Lehigh.edu

QRPP Dx Tu (C) 2002

Sir George, The First :^}

72 ES QRP DX TU (C) 1986, G. "Danny" Gingell, K3TKS@ abs.net
Maryland Milliwatt Club Founder and Trustee of Club Station - WQ3RP -

QRP A.R.C.I. Board of Directors & QQ Back Issues Sales and Services
Yes, We take "PayPal" to "George Gingell" <K3TKS@abs.net>

Gingell & Company, Ltd.
Locksmith & Handyman Services (301) 572-6789 Office & Fax
George D. Gingell, Jr. 3052 Fairland Road, Silver Spring, MD 20904-7117

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:48:20 -0500
From: kenneth hoglund <hoglund@wfu.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168756] RE: Coordinating Lists
Message-ID: <40365674.4040003@wfu.edu>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Wouldn't you know it! Problem is I'm subscribed to the venerable (and very quiet) QRP list, and not the QRP-L list. TNX for the help from several quarters. New subscriber request has been filed.

73
Ken KG4FGC

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:55:27 -0500
From: Tom Mc <redmen1969@optonline.net>
To: hoglund@wfu.edu,
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168757] Re: Coordinating Lists
Message-ID: <006601c3f7e3\$22fc41e0\$b34ab818@x2f6a2>
MIME-version: 1.0

Content-type: text/plain; charset=iso-8859-1
Content-transfer-encoding: 7BIT

I would suspect that the members of the relatively quiet QRP will be getting lots of unexpected activity, until we all straighten things out...hi

Tom
WB2QDG

"Every program has valleys and peaks. Look at North Carolina. Look at UCLA. Look at the Roman Empire. We'll be back." - Lou Carnesecca on four of the all time great dynasties in the history of man.

-----Original Message-----

From: kenneth hoglund <hoglund@wfu.edu>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Date: Friday, February 20, 2004 1:49 PM
Subject: RE: Coordinating Lists

Wouldn't you know it! Problem is I'm subscribed to the venerable (and very quiet) QRP list, and not the QRP-L list. TNX for the help from several quarters. New subscriber request has been filed.

73
Ken KG4FGC

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 10:55:14 -0800
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@oz.net>
To: Leon Heller <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
Cc: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168758] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
Message-ID: <20040220185514.GB11813@office.n7xy.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Fri, Feb 20, 2004 at 06:33:08PM -0000, Leon Heller wrote:
> My application to join the new QRP-L was rejected because I didn't provide
> my name and call sign. However, there is no way that this can be entered on
> the web page:
>
> <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>
>

> It would be a good idea to amend the web page so that this essential
> information may be entered.
>
> How do I join?
>

I had the same thing happen. I replied to that message with my name and call and I was approved the next day (his inbox is probably quite full).

The latest version of mailman has a box for "Your Name (optional)" which could be used, but qth.net has an older version without that feature.

73, Bob N7XY

--

Bob Nielsen, N7XY
Bainbridge Island, WA

n7xy (at) n7xy.net
<http://www.n7xy.net>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 14:10:10 -0500
From: "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
To: <leon_heller@hotmail.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168759] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
Message-ID: <014d01c3f7e5\$29a8b6c0\$083eca44@tampabay.rr.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

It seems there are many who have/had this application problem. I mentioned it when I replied with my name and call sign, as requested, but they then approved me and said nothing about my comment.!!!

carl / kz5ca

----- Original Message -----

From: "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 1:33 PM
Subject: New QRP-L application rejected

> My application to join the new QRP-L was rejected because I didn't provide
> my name and call sign. However, there is no way that this can be entered

on
> the web page:
>
> <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>
>
> It would be a good idea to amend the web page so that this essential
> information may be entered.
>
> How do I join?
>
> 73, Leon
> --
> Leon Heller, G1HSM
> Email: aqzf13@dsl.pipex.com
> My low-cost Philips LPC210x ARM development system:
> http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller/lpc2104.html

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 19:14:56 +0000
From: Howard Oakley <hoakley@btconnect.com>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168760] Re: Emergency Field Kit
Message-ID: <BC5C0D30.2C894%hoakley@btconnect.com>
Mime-version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"
Content-transfer-encoding: 7bit

On 20/2/04 4:41, Paul Ermisch wrote:

> The 'standard' survival/backpacking regime taught here in
> Colorado requires a "space blanket" but these are the kind with foil on one
> side and heavy plastic on the other. They include grommets for tie-downs.

Paul,

The foil is (on earth) wasted. The original aluminised plastic sheeting that was developed for space work was based on sound physics: in a vacuum, the only means by which you can gain or lose heat is by radiation. So if you make a space suit highly reflective of radiation, it will reduce radiant heat load when in full sunlight. When out of sunlight, the suit will still be a near-perfect black body radiator no matter what material you make it from. Hence shiny space suits, etc.

On earth, although radiant heat exchange does occur, it is hard to modify directly, and it is not normally a large proportion of the body's total heat

loss (it may be substantial under a clear desert sky at night, for instance). Putting a radiation reflective surface on the outer layer of your clothing may be very helpful if there is a high radiant heat load (midday sun), but it then keeps you cool rather than warm!

Radiant heat loss from the body is hardly affected by aluminised foil 'space blanket' material, as even that radiates heat at close to black body (typically 98%). Any foil facing inside, whilst it is often claimed to 'reflect the radiation back to the body' actually doesn't do that at all well. Indeed, a lot of it comes into contact with the clothing underneath, warms up, and then radiates.

The major modifiable means by which we lose heat in the outdoors are convection, and evaporation. If you can stop wind forcing convective cooling, then you will normally reduce heat loss well. Evaporative cooling is even more substantial when present - something easily tested by experiment! So the best strategy to minimise both of those is to get into a sealed plastic bag, and close it at the neck. Tarps etc. are great as shelters, but do not deliver the same benefits when used alone. Dry insulation (trapping still air inside the fabric) is of course ideal, but so often when it's an emergency the last thing you have is insulation, and if you do have it, it won't be dry.

To give you a feel for the effect on heat loss, a sample calculation: If you are evaporating freely from wet clothing, then evaporating 1 litre of water every hour (perfectly feasible) will take with it around 700 W of latent heat of vaporisation. That is too much to compensate for (seriously QRO), so you will (even if exercising hard, or shivering maximally) get cold. If you get into your bag, once the air within that bag is saturated with water vapour, no further evaporation will take place. Your body's heat might then be used to warm 1 litre of water from ambient to skin temperature every hour, say, which requires only 35 W of heat - the sort of thing you can cope with in your sleep without even waking up (and almost QRP!).

In the heat, if you have sufficient water, turn this to your advantage and spray yourself and clothing (even if impermeable to water vapour) with water, and you will keep much cooler.

I hope that helps those who go outdoors to choose better what to take with them,

72,
Howard.

Dr Howard Oakley
Isle of Wight, UK
M1BWR (IO90JO, EU-120)
Active from 80 m to 23 cm

QRP ARCI 11583; UKSMG 1718; ARRL; RSGB; RNARS

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 14:17:26 -0500
From: Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168761] Re: working George the Fox ...
Message-ID: <40365D46.84A15201@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I don't have the contest experience that you have but I have put in some radio links in odd places that were important. When I was in Antarctica setting up field parties if they were beyond VHF (marine VHF radios) range from Palmer station I set them up with a manpack HF radio and very low dipole, NVIS antenna. These antennas would typically be 10' - 15' above salt water. Communications out to 300-400 miles was excellent, all hours. Frequencies were between 80m and 40m.

There are times when a cloud warmer is a great choice but a DX antenna it isn't.

When I was in Sanford FL. I used a 40m dipole that was 12' above the ground.

I could work all of FL very well but anything past that was rare.

One other nice thing about a very low dipole. It is usually very easy and cheap to put one up to test. :-)

michael N6CHV

George Fremin III wrote:

> I still think the dominate problem is that as the MUF falls the ionosphere will
> no longer reflect much or any signal at the high angles needed to
> make a 400 mile or less path. If I get on while the sun is still up I bet my
> signal will be very good in Dallas but, once the MUF falls off even running 1500
> watts will not let me work stations within the skip zone.
>
> --

> George Fremin III - K5TR
> geoiiii@kkn.net
> http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 14:29:20 -0500
From: "John Payne" <paynej1@isp.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168762] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
Message-ID: <002501c3f7e7\$d728fec0\$75288241@pooter>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I had the same thing happen, replied as requested and am still waiting.
Guess the poor guy is absolutely covered up!

73 de John N4FLJ

"The real proof that there is intelligent life in outer space is that they
haven't come here."

----- Original Message -----

From: "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 2:10 PM
Subject: Re: New QRP-L application rejected

> It seems there are many who have/had this
> application problem. I mentioned it when I replied with my name and call
sign
> , as requested, but they then approved me and said nothing about my
> comment.!!!
> carl / kz5ca
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Leon Heller" <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
> Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 1:33 PM
> Subject: New QRP-L application rejected
>
>
> > My application to join the new QRP-L was rejected because I didn't
provide
> > my name and call sign. However, there is no way that this can be entered
> on
> > the web page:

> >
> > <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>
> >
> > It would be a good idea to amend the web page so that this essential
> > information may be entered.
> >
> > How do I join?
> >
> > 73, Leon
> > --
> > Leon Heller, G1HSM
> > Email: aqzf13@dsl.pipex.com
> > My low-cost Philips LPC210x ARM development system:
> > http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller/lpc2104.html
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 14:43:12 -0500
From: John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168763] re:FS:Rockmite, Emtech NW80, etc.
Message-ID: <40366350.E147C265@peoplepc.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The AEA Keyer Trainer mentioned below has
been spoken for...
73, John

> Subject:
> FS:Rockmite, Emtech NW80, etc.
> Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:26:04 -0500
> From: John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
> To: qrp-l <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

>
> I have for sale the following:
>
> 1. An Emtech NW-80 just finished. Has the audio filter,
> variable bandwidth xtal filter, and case. Kit components
> cost \$140. Covers all of 80 m. CW band, 3.5-3.725 mhz.,
> 5 watts output.

> I have made several contacts with the rig and output
> and receiver seem to be OK. It may need a last touch
> of alignment..... \$80 mailed to US.
>
> 2. Rockmite 40 Kit.....in bag, with instructions, not
> started.....\$25 in US.
>
> 3. AEA Keyer Trainer KT-2. Uses 10-15 external DC, positive
> or grid-block (neg) keying, has a trainer mode for increasing
> speed, which will send random groups.
> Keypad entry of speed, weight, paddle reverse, training modes,
> etc. Potentiometer volume control with off/on switch.
> With the user manual..... \$35 shipped in US.
>
>
> 4, Xtals in the usual small HC-46 case for qrp rigs.
> 3686.4 Mhz.....\$3 each mailed, or two for \$5.
>
> 73/72,
> John Farler
> K4AVX
> Hazard, Ky.
> jfarler@peoplepc.com

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:49:18 -0700 (MST)
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
To: Leon Heller <leon_heller@hotmail.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168764] Re: New QRP-L application rejected
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0402201246560.5251-1000000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Fri, 20 Feb 2004, Leon Heller wrote:

> My application to join the new QRP-L was rejected because I didn't provide
> my name and call sign. However, there is no way that this can be entered on
> the web page:
>
> <http://mailman.qth.net/mailman/listinfo/qrp-l>
>
> It would be a good idea to amend the web page so that this essential
> information may be entered.
>
> How do I join?

I suggest you put in your current email address and forget your name but put your call sign in place of the name. The moderator is trying to keep spam from joining and from experience that is hard to do.

>
> 73, Leon
> --
> Leon Heller, G1HSM
> Email: aqzf13@dsl.pipex.com
> My low-cost Philips LPC210x ARM development system:
> http://www.geocities.com/leon_heller/lpc2104.html
>

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces, NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 19:43:45 +0000
From: "Alan Fryer" <N3BJ@hotmail.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168765] QRP FS at Richmond Frostfest
Message-ID: <Law9-0E588Z9QH4dkE5000222e4@hotmail.com>

Have my sights on a new radio, so must raise some cash. Will have these available at the Richmond, VA Frostfest on Sunday:

Ten Tec Century 22 w/breaker
Wilderness Sierra w/5 band modules
Wilderness NC-40A w/KC1
OHR Sprint 30M

They all work and are in good to excellent condition. The Century 22 needs a dial restringing, but otherwise OK. Original manuals with all.

If you are interested, let me know.

Alan, N3BJ
Bent Mountain, VA

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:54:01 -0700 (MST)

From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
To: Lee Mairs <lmairs@direcway.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168766] Re: Too Many Chiefs?
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0402201250490.5251-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

Guys your way off base here. the list at www.qsl.net is run by Jim Lowman who is just another QRP operator. The guys from AmQRP are now members but have the same pull that I do.

So stop the worry and join up.

On Fri, 20 Feb 2004, Lee Mairs wrote:

> There plenty of alternatives? I've not heard of one yet.
>
> I cannot imagine a single instance where AmQRP could bias a list server such
> as QRP-L with its many diverse (and divisive) members
> 73 de Lee
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Lee Bahr" <pulsarxp@earthlink.net>
> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 12:30 PM
> Subject: Re: Too Many Chiefs?
>
>
> > I feel exactly as Dan does about a host such as AMQRP. I could not have
> > said it better then him. I like AMQRP and they do a lot of good, but I
> > fear
> > they are becomming too commercialized and a list run by them would be
> > biased. Their magazine is already becomming this way. Too much power in
> > one camp is not a good thing. There are plenty of alternatives available
> > to
> > us so we can avoid this situation. Please understand, I am not putting
> > AMQRP down. I just think seperation of power is good for QRP.
> > Lee, w0vt
> > Houston
> >
> > snip

> > > At any rate, I have already subbed to at least three other lists, one or
> > > two of them may get the majority of the members of this list. I probably
> > > won't join a web only list and probably not join a list that is put out
> by
> > > any certain club, such as ARCI or AMQRP or others like them. Not because
> I
> > > don't believe in what they are all about, (I am currently and will
> remain
> > a
> > > member of both those clubs), but because I want to get more unbiased
> info
> > > from any list that takes over for this list. Only an independently owned
> > > list can provide that on a regular basis. I will join SIG lists if I
> want
> > > info pertaining to a certain club or affiliation.
> >
> > > Dan Harriman
> > > Orange, Texas
> > snip
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces, NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:09:49 -0700 (MST)
From: Karl Larsen <k5di@zianet.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168767] Joining the new QRP-L List
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0402201255570.5251-100000@bucket.dog>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

First you use your browser and direct it to:

<http://www.qsl.net/>

Now read what is said and then click on:

Email Reflector

This gets you to a new page with the address as shown:

<http://mailman.qth.net/>

Now on this page you decide which list to join. You get the list by clicking on:

Directory of Email Lists

Go way down this list to where it says QRP-L and click on that. Your still on the same page <http://mailman.qth.net>.

At this point all you do is give the form your email address and select a password. Use a simple password. In a few hours you will get email that says your registered.

If you get a rejection send a message to jmlowman@sbcglobal.net and tell Jim what your email address is, and explain it's from Russia...or wherever.

--

- Karl Larsen k5di Las Cruces,NM Az ScQRPions -

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 20:02:21 GMT
From: w4bld@juno.com
To: qrp-L@lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168768] Thanks Jim!!
Message-ID: <20040220.120318.28395.2507774@webmail05.lax.unttd.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain

A special thanks to Jim for having the drive to maintain the list all of these years. My hat is off to you for all of your hard work!!

Bob
W4BLD

The best thing to hit the Internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!
Surf the Web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month - visit www.juno.com to sign up today!

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:20:04 -0500
From: John Farler <jfarler@peoplepc.com>
To: qrp-l <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168769] re:
Message-ID: <40366BF4.1A3570B9@peoplepc.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

The Rockmite kit has been spoken for. I still
have the following for sale.....

1. An Emtech NW-80 just finished. Has the audio filter,
variable bandwidth xtal filter, and case. Kit components
cost \$140. Covers all of 80 m. CW band, 3.5-3.725 mhz.,
5 watts output.

I have made several contacts with the rig and output
and receiver seem to be OK. It may need a last touch
of alignment..... \$80 mailed to US.

4. Xtals in the usual small HC-46 case for qrp rigs.
3686.4 Mhz.....\$3 each mailed, or two for \$5.

73/72,
John Farler
K4AVX
Hazard, Ky.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:21:10 -0500
From: "sslyon" <sslyon@megalink.net>
To: <kr1st@amsat.org>, "qrp list" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168770] Re: "Moderation": Look it up.
Message-ID: <001801c3f7ef\$14a97480\$6401a8c0@megalink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

> sslyon wrote:

> > It is apparent that a few of us don't understand the concept
of

> > responsible citizenship in this "hobby nation" of ours and
would

> > continue to disrespect the rest with public, offensive behavior.

> Alex wrote:

> It's amazing, isn't it, that a only a few members of a group can cause

> such powerful reactions? We rather put up with sensorship/moderation,

> than dose our reaction to whatever we disagree with to a level that is

> more appropriate.

>

Hi Alex

Reflecting on the painful incidents on this list and in other areas of my life and with a bit of re-phrasing, I'd agree. After all, it's been the stupid, self-serving, ego-maniacal, and un-dosed "reactions" that have caused strong secondary responses by the group. It's quite reasonable to expect that even one individual in a large community could so grievously offend the others that a public outcry would result. It happens a lot, at all scales, and I for one would prefer to know that I had lots of company -or not, in my outrage. That's what civilization's learning curve has been all about and it's an important contributor to the evolution of democratic process as well. The measure of success through time is the harmony and positive results we achieve while steering our course between moderation and oppression. And that's just one of the many good reasons to keep in touch with history.

sincerely,

73

seab

aa1my

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:30:36 -0500 (EST)

From: jsb@digistar.com

To: "Thom R. Lacosta" <lacosta@bcpl.net>

Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168771] Re: Lists for QRP

Message-ID: <Pine.GS0.4.58.0402201529560.12318@digistar.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Fri, 20 Feb 2004, Thom R. Lacosta wrote:

> Before this list disappears, I'd like to extend and offer to anyone

> operating a list or forum that's QRP related to forward me information
> on the venue...it's name, how to join, etc. and I'll be happy to have
> all of them listed on the QRP web Ring home page at
>
> www.zerobeat.net/qrp/

You're welcome to add QRP-CW to the heap, subscription info is located at
<http://qrq.us/mailman/listinfo/qrp-cw>

73 Jason N1SU

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 12:39:19 -0800
From: "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168772] :Rejected"
Message-ID: <40367077.7060504@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello All,

Since yesterday I have been getting messages of "rejection" for the new
L-QRP list server.

It is clear that the robot is not properly programmed.

The procedure is asking for an email address (that is clear)

and a password (repeat password)

The rejection message is informing me that it needs a "name" and a
"call"????

In my mind at least, it is obvious that the administrator of this list
never went
through a subscription him/her self.....

I believe there are new subscribers here that have been successful
subscribing.

BTW I am on several QTH lists and have never been "rejected" by an administrator.

What is the procedure ? In detail please.

73 Rein W6/PA0ZN

<http://www.nitehawk.com/rasmit/>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:51:49 -0500
From: Michael Neverdosky <mikenever@earthlink.net>
To: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com,
Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168773] Re: :Rejected"
Message-ID: <40367365.626610EA@earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Just reply to the 'rejection' email and include your call and full name in the message.

He is doing this to keep out automated sign ups.

Yes, it is an extra step from most lists.

I got the same message and sent a reply with my name and call inserted in the first line of the message and was confirmed quickly. I think I got in ahead of the big rush.
Be patient, he is doing the additions manually to keep the best control and it may take him a while to get through the backlog.

michael N6CHV

"Rein A. Smit" wrote:

>
> Hello All,
>
> Since yesterday I have been getting messages of "rejection" for the new
> L-QRP list server.
>
> It is clear that the robot is not properly programmed.
>

> The procedure is asking for an email address (that is clear)
>
> and a password (repeat password)
>
> The rejection message is informing me that it needs a "name" and a
> "call"????
>
> In my mind at least, it is obvious that the administrator of this list
> never went
> through a subscription him/her self.....
>
> I believe there are new subscribers here that have been successful
> subscribing.
>
> BTW I am on several QTH lists and have never been "rejected" by an
> administrator.
>
> What is the procedure ? In detail please.
>
> 73 Rein W6/PA0ZN
>
> <http://www.nitehawk.com/rasmit/>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:54:20 -0500
From: "Tim Kass" <timkass@hotmail.com>
To: qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168774] Help: Norcal Paddle
Message-ID: <BAY2-F108bbJXncnm5c00056544@hotmail.com>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; format=flowed

Recently purchased an unassembled Norcal paddle (i.e.Code Warrior) and need the assembly instructions. While most is really self evident, I do have some questions about the sleeves, does anyone have an old copy of the instructions for this paddle? 72/73 Tim K8WBL.

Get fast, reliable access with MSN 9 Dial-up. Click here for Special Offer!
<http://click.atdmt.com/AVE/go/onm00200361ave/direct/01/>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:11:12 -0800
From: "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>

To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168775] Rejected: II Final
Message-ID: <403677F0.6040103@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi All,

Thanks for all the feedback. I never understood the automated message to instruct me
"to send the required items." But that might be me.

However I did this yesterday anyway, but have not seen any answer (more than 24 hours)
but then again I understand this is not a nice job to handle)

Anyway thanks, and perhaps one of us should post the exact procedure to avoid more
traffic over the weekend.

73 rein W6/PA0ZN

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:17:36 -0500
From: Joel M Denison <hamjoel@juno.com>
To: qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU
Subject: [168776] LET'S FOOL OURSELVES AGAIN, WHY DON'T WE...
Message-ID: <20040220.161736.3320.0.hamjoel@juno.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

u KNEAUX qrp1 is a great list, lot of thinking went into making it and keeping it running.. lots of work...

As we have just found out... ther aren't many "bad" folks out thair however there are some folks who don't "mix" or tolerate well,... views contrary to their own....and that's the way they was raised....

What we is doing here is known as the "geographic cure" in aa circles and it don't work for long... because the problem is never addressed ...it is still with us...and when someone does not wish to modify thair behaviour and its disruptive to a group... something's gotta give....

please note, this time the group gave...toes up... and all

that... and it will have to do the same again in the future if we all just change email addresses....

A square peg will not fit in a round hole, less of course if its lots smaller in diameter.... :-)) however a square of the same size will not fit into a round hole without modification of the hole.... or thesquare peg... or both.....

and that's what we is looking at heah, no rotten eggs, just one or more what can not fit themself into the accepted behaviour....expected... so if u is knowledgeable enough to know who is not fitting well, let the powers that be see if they can't help the folk with thair problems and if the bahaviour can not or will not be modified... then these folk do not belong on the list and "need" to be restricted in a way that is healthful to the list as a whole.....

Lots of good hard work is getting shot down here simply because we don't see the need to help folks with inappropriate behaviour problems even if the "help" given.... is restricting thair access to the means to disrupt the entire group...

Nothing has changed here except the group's address... history will repeat itself....

However I have been wrong before and this may be another "wrong" time, however I don't think so, I really don't...

REMEMBER: THERE ARE NO RULES..... IS ITSELF, A RULE! MEDITATE ON THIS A BIT...

KE1LA

Joel Denison

Strong, Maine, 04983

Freezin solid up heah

The best thing to hit the Internet in years - Juno SpeedBand!
Surf the Web up to FIVE TIMES FASTER!
Only \$14.95/ month - visit www.juno.com to sign up today!

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:21:07 -0500
From: "Kevin M., W8VOS" <adverseyaw@twmi.rr.com>
To: <ssswhite@mchsi.com>,
"Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168777] RE: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??
Message-ID: <[004801c3f7f7\\$74de3bd0\\$64dc0a0a@magnus](mailto:004801c3f7f7$74de3bd0$64dc0a0a@magnus)>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="us-ascii"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Nothing here in Redford, MI either.

> -----Original Message-----

> From: owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU [mailto:owner-qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU]

> On Behalf Of Steve

> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 7:10 PM

> To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion

> Subject: Fw: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??

>

>

>

> ----- Original Message -----

> From: "Steve" <sswhite@mchsi.com>

> To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>

> Sent: Thursday, February 19, 2004 4:02 PM

> Subject: Anyone Still waiting for HB Mag??

>

>

> I have still not seen my copy so am wondering if anyone else

> is still waiting like I am??

>

> Steve NU0P

>

>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:26:11 EST

From: ARDUJENSKI@aol.com

To: qrp-1@lehigh.edu

Cc: w7ls@blarg.net

Subject: [168778] QRP FS ITEMS

Message-ID: <38.427b6031.2d67d573@aol.com>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset="US-ASCII"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

A friend of mine is cleaning out a bunch of old QRP radios and although no posted prices, I am sure Jim will make you one heck of a deal. Thought I would pass along before the LIST dissolves. Contact Jim W7LS directly at w7ls@blarg.net

Alan KB7MBI

Tixie

SW-80+ with case, PIC freq annunciator

SW80 and SW40 in one box. Ugly, but works great. Internal batteries.

Small freq counter w/qrp dummy load for checking freq in field.
NW8020 for 80m. PCB built. Not installed in case. Case included.
Lots of qrp calling freq crystals. \$2, each. All bands.
WM-75 75m ssb rig. Built. Works great. Case included. PIC annunciator
installed.
SW160 (one or two. Can't remember)

Probably have some other stuff, and will let you know as I unearth them. :-)
Several cw keys, for sure.

Thanks, Alan. Jim

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:31:22 -0500
From: "Ron Polityka" <wb3aal@verizon.net>
To: ".QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168779] EPA QRP Club Changes
Message-ID: <002501c3f7f8\$e34c3bc0\$0200a8c0@WB3AAL>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hello,

I will be dissolving the EPA QRP Club this year. You will probably
not see the N3EPA Club Web page in a few days.

The club will have the last TAC contest this year and a blow out of
a Field Day. The TAC contest will no longer be held after 2004.

The El Presidente' is going into exile. The work I do for the club,
Web page and calendars is really not worth my time and effort to
continue.

72
Ron de N3EPA
EPA QRP Club El Presidente'
n3epa@verizon.net
www.n3epa.org

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:33:43 -0600
From: "Pat Whelton" <pwhelton@earthlink.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168780] Contest Exchange
Message-ID: <005f01c3f7f9\$37345600\$8901a8c0@Earthlink.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Anyone know what the exchange is for this weekend's ARRL DX Contest?

Thanks,
Pat - KZ5J

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 14:35:11 -0700
From: "John_Evans" <jaevans@codenet.net>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168781] Re: EPA QRP Club Changes
Message-ID: <200402201435.AA281674356@mail.codenet.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

gee Ron,

I'll miss that site. I love the AT award - is that going away for good, too?
At any rate, do keep in touch and the best to you in your endeavors.

72 - john - n0hj

----- Original Message -----

From: "Ron Polityka" <wb3aal@verizon.net>
Reply-To: wb3aal@verizon.net
Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:31:22 -0500

>Hello,
>
> I will be dissolving the EPA QRP Club this year. You will probably
>not see the N3EPA Club Web page in a few days.
>
>The club will have the last TAC contest this year and a blow out of
>a Field Day. The TAC contest will no longer be held after 2004.
>
> The El Presidente' is going into exile. The work I do for the club,
>Web page and calendars is really not worth my time and effort to
>continue.

>
>72
>Ron de N3EPA
>EPA QRP Club El Presidente'
>n3epa@verizon.net
>www.n3epa.org

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:35:30 -0800
From: "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
To: Lloyd Lachow <llachow@yahoo.com>, qrp-1@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168782] Re: Rejected: II Final ----How to handle name/call question
Message-ID: <40367DA2.6030209@ix.netcom.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Hi Lloyd and others,

In my opinion it has been suggested in several ways.

I received the automated message that the rejection was because "a name and call were missing"

Whoever is in charge of this operation should modify the reply message to something like this:

"We could not process your subscription because in addition to the info you supplied, we need your name and call sign. Please submit this to:

mailto:adminstrator@net

Thanks and please standby for your subscription confirmation message!"

I think that should inform new subscribers and we should not have to rely on the archives.

I get here every day some 150 messages, for x % garbage, but that are

the realities of today.

73 Rein

Lloyd Lachow wrote:

>It has been posted 3-4 times already! How do we get
>people to read the archive for the last few hours
>before posting identical questions, is the question.

>

> LL/K3ESE

>

>

>--- "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>

>

>>Hi All,

>>

>>Thanks for all the feedback. I never understood the
>>automated message to

>>instruct me

>>"to send the required items." But that might be
>>me.

>>

>>However I did this yesterday anyway, but have not

>>seen any answer (more

>>than 24 hours)

>>but then again I understand this is not a nice job

>>to handle)

>>

>>Anyway thanks, and perhaps one of us should post the

>>exact procedure to

>>avoid more

>>traffic over the weekend.

>>

>>73 rein W6/PA0ZN

>>

>>

>>

>

>

>

>-----

>Do you Yahoo!?

>Yahoo! Mail SpamGuard - Read only the mail you want.

><http://antispam.yahoo.com/tools>

>

>

>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:53:33 -0500
From: "steve" <swells244@bellsouth.net>
To: <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>,
 "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168783] Re: :Rejected"
Message-ID: <016701c3f7fb\$fc6e87e0\$220110ac@STEVESL7X513D0>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Reply to the rejection email With your name and call.

Steve

----- Original Message -----

From: "Rein A. Smit" <rein0zn@ix.netcom.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 3:39 PM
Subject: :Rejected"

>
>
> Hello All,
>
>
> Since yesterday I have been getting messages of "rejection" for the new
> L-QRP list server.
>
> It is clear that the robot is not properly programmed.
>
> The procedure is asking for an email address (that is clear)
>
> and a password (repeat password)
>
> The rejection message is informing me that it needs a "name" and a
> "call"????
>
>
> In my mind at least, it is obvious that the administrator of this list
> never went
> through a subscription him/her self.....
>
> I believe there are new subscribers here that have been successful
> subscribing.

>
> BTW I am on several QTH lists and have never been "rejected" by an
> administrator.
>
> What is the procedure ? In detail please.
>
> 73 Rein W6/PA0ZN
>
> <http://www.nitehawk.com/rasmit/>
>
>
>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:54:14 -0500
From: "Howard Kraus" <K2UD@adelphia.net>
To: <hamjoel@juno.com>
Cc: <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168784] Re: LET'S FOOL OURSELVES AGAIN, WHY DON'T WE...
Message-ID: <016801c3f7fc\$14ba9fa0\$9f131443@kntnny.adelphia.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

I was just going to suggest that what we all probably need right about now is some good words from Joel. Whenever there is a fracas, confrontation, or some other type of ado, Joel knows just when to pop in and give some sage advice that always seems to hit home and leave that warm fuzzy feeling.

Your timing was perfect. Luvya man!

72

Howard Kraus, K2UD

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 13:20:37 -0800
From: "Doug Hendricks" <ki6ds@dpol.net>
To: <qrp-1@mailman.qth.net>, <qrp-1@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168785] Paraset WW2 Spy Radio
Message-ID: <00ab01c3f7f7\$62731d80\$4a0b0d0a@dph.dpol.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
 charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Has anyone on the list actually built a reproduction of the WW2 Spy Radio, the Paraset? If so, I would like to exchange email with you. 72, Doug, KI6DS

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:15:02 -0600
From: Rob Matherly <jimrob@jetnetinc.net>
To: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>, Flying Pigs <fpqrp-l@fpqrp.com>, qrpp-i@yahoogroups.com
Subject: [168786] Solar power?
Message-ID: <403686E6.6020305@jetnetinc.net>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Does anyone have any links to sites with info about solar-powered QRP operation?

--
72/73/oo - Rob, W0JRM - jimrob@jetnetinc.net - ARRL MEMBER
FPQrp -330; QRPp-I #19; WATPK #1; SOC #442; ARS #1143
Are you a gun nut? http://groups.yahoo.com/group/gun_nut_hams
<http://www.robmatherly.com> <--- Not ham related!

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:46:08 -0600
From: George Fremin III <geoiiii@kkn.net>
To: Pat Whelton <pwhelton@earthlink.net>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168787] Re: Contest Exchange
Message-ID: <20040220214608.GB8613@kkn.net>
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Disposition: inline

On Fri, Feb 20, 2004 at 03:33:43PM -0600, Pat Whelton wrote:
> Anyone know what the exchange is for this weekend's ARRL DX Contest?

US/VE send RST + State/Province

DX sends RST + power

Rules:

<http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2004/intldx.html>

--

George Fremin III - K5TR

geoiii@kkn.net

<http://www.kkn.net/~k5tr>

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 18:25:48 -0400

From: Reed Park <reedpark@nbnet.nb.ca>

To: Doug Hendricks <ki6ds@dpol.net>

Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>

Subject: [168788] Re: Paraset WW2 Spy Radio

Message-ID: <4036896B.38F77C51@nbnet.nb.ca>

MIME-Version: 1.0

Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Doug Hendricks wrote:

> Has anyone on the list actually built a reproduction of the WW2 Spy Radio,
> the Paraset? If so, I would like to exchange email with you. 72, Doug,
> KI6DS

Hi Doug.

I built one last winter then last summer found an original not far from me.
So now I have 2 parasets, you might say I have a para-parasets. :-)
Is there anything I can do to help ?

7 3

Reed - VE1NU

--

Looking for information on Marconi SMR-3 and
Northern Electric R8119A receivers of WW 2.

ARROW Research (Avro CF-105)

Reed Park

252 Gauvin Road

Dieppe, New Brunswick

Canada E1A1M1

Amateur Radio V E 1 N U

WW II, # 19 SET user

Paraset replica builder and owner of a real one.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 17:18:01 -0500
From: John Sielke <jsielke@pobox.com>
To: qrp-l@lehigh.edu
Subject: [168789] Re: Contest Exchange
Message-ID: <40368799.4040201@pobox.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>
>
>Anyone know what the exchange is for this weekend's ARRL DX Contest?
>
>Thanks,
>Pat - KZ5J
>

It is: Signal Report (599 ;-) and State/Province Dx stations send
Signal report and Power.

73,

John W2AGN

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 17:35:03 -0500
From: "carl seyersdahl" <carlseye@tampabay.rr.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168790] qth.net
Message-ID: <023701c3f801\$c8c7d120\$083eca44@tampabay.rr.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Before this list goes away, can someone tell me about the "new" list ?? I

am registered on qth.net, but am I supposed to get , in my mailbox, ALL the entries to the qrp-l list , or only those addressed to me?? This list, low power , etc: I have always gotten everything sent to the list.

I'm not even certain how to enter the needed info to find the list without 'searching" for it.!!

any enlightenment out there???

carl / kz5ca (am I dense or what??)

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 17:31:20 -0500
From: Bruce Muscolino <w6toy@erols.com>
To: leon_heller@hotmail.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168791] Re: Weller WES51 vs. WLC100 soldering iron
Message-ID: <40368AB8.8AA2C340@erols.com>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

>
> I used to take my own soldering station in where I used to work, if I had
> any PCB assembly to be done. It was much better than the crappy equipment
> they had available. 8-)
>
Leon,

In America it was more usual to build projects after work. The facilities they offered were often much better than at home. This, of course, applied only to mil spec houses!

Bruce

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 16:45:18 -0600 (CST)
From: Dale Botkin <dale@botkin.org>
To: Rod N0RC <rc7039-hr@yahoo.com>
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168792] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0402201643060.6779-100000@madmax.botkin.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: TEXT/PLAIN; charset=US-ASCII

On Fri, 20 Feb 2004, Rod N0RC wrote:

> First BPL, now this. I guess it is time to give up on amateur radio
> completely. It just isn't the hobby it used to be.

Utter nonsense, with all due respect. If you want to avoid the BS and
stuff, shut off the computer and warm up the radio. It's still radio.

> Bye all.

See you on the air. If you're really going to quit Amateur Radio
altogether, send me your gear and I'll make sure it gets put to good
use... 8-)

73, Dale "Internet is NOT radio" -- n0xas

--

It's a thankless job, but I've got a lot of Karma to burn off.
The NEW Super PicoKeyer offers speed control by pot OR menu!
Check <http://www.hamgadgets.com> for news.

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 18:10:29 -0500
From: "Philip L. Carter" <pcarter@gcfn.org>
To: rein0zn@ix.netcom.com
Cc: Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Subject: [168793] Re: Rejected: II Final ----How to handle name/call question
Message-ID: <403693E5.3090900@gcfn.org>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii; format=flowed
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Also, DON'T use secure email or any form of digital signature. The
robot can't understand that either.

Phil

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 18:30:48 -0500
From: "Cal Cotner" <cal.jsi@verizon.net>
To: "QRP-L" <qrp-l@Lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168794] Re: Solar power?
Message-ID: <000301c3f809\$92202480\$fc53fea9@Sharon>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"

Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

Rob and all,

There was and may still be a mail reflector on Yahoo, administered I think by WB3AAL, titled QRPSolarPower. I haven't checked it recently, but there were fairly frequent posts on there which should be of interest.

The URL is <http://groups.yahoo.com/group/QRPSolarPower/> I need to frequent the list a bit more as spring and longer days are coming and I am interested in putting my small solar panel to work.

72/73

Cal K4JSI

Date: Fri, 20 Feb 2004 15:52:27 -0800
From: "DTX" <dtx@wood.tzo.com>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@lehigh.edu>
Subject: [168795] Re: The future of QRP-L
Message-ID: <0c2301c3f80c\$98c2b8e0\$0c00a8c0@home>
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain;
charset="iso-8859-1"
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit

No, no, no, Dale! I already got a deal working with Rod on declared excess items <G>

Gary WA6DTX

----- Original Message -----

From: "Dale Botkin" <dale@botkin.org>
To: "Low Power Amateur Radio Discussion" <qrp-l@Lehigh.EDU>
Sent: Friday, February 20, 2004 2:45 PM
Subject: Re: The future of QRP-L

> On Fri, 20 Feb 2004, Rod N0RC wrote:

>

> > First BPL, now this. I guess it is time to give up on amateur radio
> > completely. It just isn't the hobby it used to be.

>

> Utter nonsense, with all due respect. If you want to avoid the BS and
> stuff, shut off the computer and warm up the radio. It's still radio.

>

> > Bye all.

>

> See you on the air. If you're really going to quit Amateur Radio

> altogether, send me your gear and I'll make sure it gets put to good
> use... 8-)
>
> 73, Dale "Internet is NOT radio" -- n0xas
> --
> It's a thankless job, but I've got a lot of Karma to burn off.
> The NEW Super PicoKeyer offers speed control by pot OR menu!
> Check <http://www.hamgadgets.com> for news.
>
>

End of QRP-L Digest 3203
